

PROOF COVER SHEET

Author(s): Iker Alegria-Lertxundi, Ana Rocandio Pablo, and Marta Arroyo-Izaga

Article title: Cheese consumption and prevalence of overweight and obesity in a Basque adult population: a cross-sectional study

Article no: CIJF_A_836741

Enclosures: 1) Query sheet
2) Article proofs

Dear Author,

Please check these proofs carefully. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check against the original manuscript and approve or amend these proofs. A second proof is not normally provided. Informa Healthcare cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the composition process. The journal reserves the right to charge for excessive author alterations, or for changes requested after the proofing stage has concluded.

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript and are marked in the margins of the proofs. Unless advised otherwise, submit all corrections using the CATS online correction form. Once you have added all your corrections, please ensure you press the “Submit All Corrections” button.

Please review the table of contributors below and confirm that the first and last names are structured correctly and that the authors are listed in the correct order of contribution.

Contrib. No.	Prefix	Given name(s)	Surname	Suffix
1		Iker	Alegria-Lertxundi	
2		Ana Rocandio	Pablo	
3		Marta	Arroyo-Izaga	

AUTHOR QUERIES

- Q1: The text “Moreover, 17-to-35-year. . . who were 56 or over” has been again repeated in the next paragraph. Please check.
- Q2: Please specify the significance of the symbol “¶” in Table 5 and symbols “¶” and “†” in Table 6.
- Q3: Please provide location of the publisher.
- Q4: Please provide last page number.
- Q5: There is no mention of Reference Sharon (2004) in the text. Please insert a citation in the text or delete the reference as appropriate.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cheese consumption and prevalence of overweight and obesity in a Basque adult population: a cross-sectional study

Iker Alegria-Lertxundi, Ana Rocandio Pablo, and Marta Arroyo-Izaga

Department of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Vitoria, Spain

Abstract

Studies have reported a negative association between dairy product consumption and weight status. However, not as much research has focused on cheese; therefore, the aim of this study was to study the association between cheese intake and overweight and obesity in a representative Basque adult population. A food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was obtained from a random sample of 1081 adults (530 males and 551 females, 17–96 years old). Cheese consumption data were expressed as g/1000 kcal/day. The prevalence of overweight/obesity was higher in men (55.1%) than in women (35.4%) ($p < 0.001$). Participants with low or moderate intake of fresh and processed cheese demonstrated a higher prevalence of excess weight, compared with those with higher consumption. The confounding variables selected in multivariate analysis were occupational status and age in both genders; and place of residence in men. In conclusion, negative associations were found between consumption of some types of cheese and overweight and obesity in this population.

Introduction

The prevalence of obesity in developed countries has increased at an alarming rate with the associated complications placing a substantial burden on healthcare costs (Sicras-Mainar et al., 2012). Obesity, however, is a complex issue and thus a wide range of strategies are required to tackle the problem. The potential for the involvement of particular aspects of the diet in preventing obesity has been considered.

With regard to diet, dairy foods such as cheeses make up a considerable part of the Western diet; nevertheless, the effects of these foods on preventing obesity are not clear. Dairy food represents an important source of saturated fat, which is a risk factor for obesity, cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes (Mann, 2002). The common perception that the consumption of dairy foods, particularly of the non-reduced fat options, leads to excessive weight gain has led to a number of recent studies exploring the association between the intake of dairy foods and body weight and/or body mass index (BMI, in kg/m^2). BMI is widely used as an indirect measure of fatness (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009); although its accuracy as an assessment of adiposity has been called into question, correlation between BMI and body fat percentage is good overall (Romero-Corral et al., 2008). Contrary to expectations, it has been suggested that the consumption of dairy foods plays a beneficial role in the regulation of body weight (Zemel, 2004).

Negative associations between consumption of dairy products or calcium and body weight or obesity have been observed

Keywords

Cheese, food consumption frequency, obesity, overweight

History

Received 27 May 2013

Revised 30 July 2013

Accepted 18 August 2013

Published online ■■■

in several cross-sectional (Marques-Vidal et al., 2006; Mirmiran et al., 2005; Rosell et al., 2004) and longitudinal studies in adults (Davies et al., 2000; Fumeron et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2002). Various components of dairy foods, such as calcium (through its effects on intracellular calcium), other minerals (potassium, magnesium), or the insulinotropic effects of whey proteins may be responsible for these potential beneficial effects (McCarty, 2005; Nilsson et al., 2004; Tremblay & Gilbert, 2011).

However, the beneficial effect of dairy consumption is not supported by all studies (Barba & Russo, 2006; Rajpathak et al., 2006) possibly due to differences in study populations, study design and methodology (dietary consumption, including the types of dairy products). Whereas many studies have focused on dairy consumption, fewer have assessed the relationship between cheese intake, taken separately from other dairy products, and weight status (Beydoun et al., 2008; Bradlee et al., 2010; Houston et al., 2008; Shao & Chin, 2011) and their results are confusing. On one hand, some authors have attributed deleterious effects to cheese due to its higher energy density, to its elevated content in saturated fat, and to its higher phosphorus content compared with other dairy products (Beydoun et al., 2008). On the other hand, cheese, as distinct from other dairy products, has been inversely associated with obesity (Bradlee et al., 2010), or not correlated with obesity tendencies (Shao & Chin, 2011). To try to elucidate this relationship, the present study assessed the association between consumption of cheese, in general, and between intake of different types of cheeses and overweight (Ov) and obesity (Ob), in a representative sample of the adult population of the Basque Country.

It was hypothesized that cheese consumption, in general, and/or the different types of cheese, especially fresh cheese given its lower energy density and its lower content in fat compared

with other cheeses, was negatively associated with body weight. To our knowledge, the effect of the consumption of cheese has not been examined in the Basque Country population. The range of consumption of dairy products in general, and in particular the consumption of cheese, is relatively broad in Basque Country (The Agriculture, Fishing and Food Department, Basque Government, 2007); hence, the current study population should be suitable for detecting a possible association between cheese intake and body weight status.

Methods

This cross-sectional study is part of the project LisRisk (NIC 08196), ERANET-Safefoodera (FP7) that aims to analyze the risk of *Listeria monocytogenes* associated with the consumption of cheese in several European countries (Portugal, Iceland, Cyprus and Basque Country – Spain).

Subjects

The target population consisted of 1081 adults aged 17 years old and older, living in the Basque Autonomous Community (a region of Northern Spain). Of the total sample, 530 were males (49.0%) and 551 females (51.0%). The median age was 45.0 years old (17–96), 46.0 (17–93) years old for men and 44.0 (17–96) years old for women. The type of measurements was explained to the participants, who gave their informed verbal consent. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded and obtained before the interview. All data were coded to ensure confidentiality. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki.

The sampling design was polietapic and stratified by region, gender and age, drawn randomly from the Basque Country population with the aim of obtaining a representative sample (EUSTAT, 2011). The eligibility criteria for inclusion were established to recruit a healthy population and were as follows: (1) adults aged 17 years old and older; (2) no current reported diseases or conditions (such as being on a diet or doing intense physical activity) that may affect the weight and/or height and not currently on medication related to these diseases; and (3) not related to other participants. The initial sample included 1104 participants. Data exclusion criteria were used to omit participants when they did not report weight and/or height data and/or other studied variables or when BMI was less or greater than 4 SD. Twenty participants were excluded owing to lack of data and three cases were excluded because their BMI was greater than 4 SD of BMI. All data were recorded using structured questionnaires in face-to-face interviews with qualified staff. The response rate of the study was 76%.

Assessment of cheese intake

Dietary intake data were collected using a semi-quantitative FFQ based on recall of diet during the past year. This questionnaire was especially designed and based on a validated FFQ (National Cancer Institute, 2008) and was tested on a pilot study (67 subjects), which indicated that it provides a reasonable estimate of food intake. The food consumption estimated from FFQ correlated well with the consumption reported on 3-day diet records ($r = 0.70$).

In the FFQ, cheese intake referred to the consumption of cheese both on its own and as part of foods and dishes. Cheese-specific questions were structured into three categories: fresh (e.g. Burgos cheese, curd, cottage, mozzarella), mature (e.g. Emmental, Gruyere, Idiazábal) and processed (e.g. portions of slices, cheese spreads). This categorization was made according to the Spanish Food Code taking into account the cheese-making

process (Deleuze, 2001), which defines fresh cheese as one resulting from recent manufacture which has not undergone any transformation or fermentation, except for lactic acid fermentation. Fresh cheeses were characterized by a lower energy and fat content than the other kinds of cheese. Mature cheeses, in addition to lactic acid fermentation, undergo other fermentation and changes in their mass, and are characterized by a higher protein and calcium content than the other cheeses. Processed cheeses are defined as products obtained by grinding, mixing and fusing one or more varieties of cheeses using heat treatment, or which contain authorized emulsifying agents or which contain added milk or other products (such as spices, nuts). Idiazábal cheese is a traditional mature cheese from the Basque Country made with raw sheep's milk, as approved by its Denomination of Origin (Official State Gazette, 2002).

When answering FFQ, participants indicated how often, on average, they had consumed the amount of each food item in the past year. The arithmetical mean was used for frequency bands. Portion categories were converted into gram amounts using predefined standard portion sizes for the Spanish population (Centre d'Ensenyament Superior de Nutrició i Dietètica, 2003). The average food intake was calculated by multiplying frequency by portion size. Total energy intake was estimated using a computerized dietary assessment program (Centre d'Ensenyament Superior de Nutrició i Dietètica, 2003). Results of cheese consumption were reported as g/1000 kcal/day and participants were categorized into tertiles.

Anthropometric data

BMI was calculated using self-reported weight and height and was classified as underweight, normal weight, Ov and Ob according to the World Health Organization criteria (WHO, 2000). Underweight and normal weight participants were regrouped as non-overweight/obese (non-Ov/Ob).

Socio-demographic and economic data

The questionnaire was based on a validated socio-demographic and economic questionnaire (NIAID AIDS Clinical Trials Group). The questions of this instrument assessed: household (from living alone to four or more people living together), educational attainment (from no education to professional education and/or university), occupational status (from working to in school), income for year (from less than €5000 to more than €150000) and place of residence (rural or urban). The assignment of either rural or urban place of residence was based on the rural/urban classification of the Spanish Statistic Institute which considers that rural areas have less than 10 000 people (National Statistics Institute, 2001).

For simplicity and descriptive purposes, socio-demographic and economic variables were re-grouped. The sample was re-grouped according to age into the following three categories: 17–35, 36–55 and ≥ 56 years old. Household composition was re-grouped into the following four categories: living alone; living with other people; three people living together; and four or more people living together. Educational attainment was regrouped according to the criteria of the Spanish Classification of Education (Real Decreto 269/2000) into three groups: without studies (illiterate people or people who spent less than 5 years at the school); primary studies and secondary education; and professional education and/or university. The occupational status was regrouped into: working; unemployed, disabled or retired and not working; and currently in school. Income data were re-grouped into the following categories: less than €20 000 a year; more than €20 000 a year; and “does not know” or “does not answer”.

Statistical methods

Data were analyzed using SPSS vs 20.0. (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and reported as median (range) and frequency. The normality of continuous variables was checked using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov–Lilliefors test. Non-parametric tests were used when the test of normality was significant ($p < 0.05$), which is the case for the variables: BMI, age and cheese consumption. The difference between means was estimated by the Mann–Whitney U test for two independent samples and by the Kruskal–Wallis H test for several independent samples. Significant differences in frequencies were calculated by means of χ^2 . The association between quantitative variables (BMI and cheese consumption) was estimated by Spearman's ρ and linear regression.

Multinomial logistic regression models with the calculations of corresponding adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to examine possible differences between those with Ov, Ob and non-Ov/Ob. Univariate analysis was first carried out for all of the variables (cheese consumption and socio-demographic and economic factors), which could be associated with the frequency of Ov and Ob. Any factor that was significantly associated was considered as a candidate for the multivariate model. Multivariate regression analyses were used to

simultaneously examine the effect of different variables on the prevalence of Ov and Ob. Level of significance for acceptance was $p < 0.05$. Analyses were carried out separately for men and women, because of the differences in dietary intake (Touvier et al., 2011) and prevalence of Ov and Ob by gender (Perez et al., 2010).

Results

Characteristics of study sample and cheese consumption

Characteristics of the cohort studied and intakes for total cheese and for different cheeses are shown in Table 1. The percent of “non-cheese consumers” was 7.6% (9.1% of males and 6.2% of females) and their socio-demographic profile was characterized by three or more people living in the household, being in work and residing in urban area ($p < 0.05$). High consumers were characterized only by residence in an urban area ($p < 0.001$).

The prevalence of overweight/obesity was 55.1% for men and 35.4% for women ($p < 0.001$). BMI was significantly different by gender (men: 25.4 kg/m² (18.3–39.9); women: 23.5 kg/m² (15.2–38.0); $p < 0.001$) and results indicated a higher prevalence of Ov/Ob and a lower prevalence of underweight in men than

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample population and cheese consumption.

	Total (n = 1081)	Men (n = 530)	Women (n = 551)	<i>p</i> ^a
Age, %				
17–35 y	33.9	33.2	34.5	0.46
36–55 y	33.5	33.0	33.9	0.53
≥56 y	32.7	33.8	31.6	0.79
BMI, %				
Underweight	2.8	0.2	5.3	<0.001
Normal weight	52.2	44.7	59.3	<0.001
Overweight	33.4	40.8	26.3	<0.001
Obesity	11.7	14.3	9.1	0.02
Household composition, %				
Living alone	8.7	8.7	8.7	0.84
2	28.8	30.6	27.0	0.46
3	29.5	26.2	32.7	0.02
4 or more	33.0	34.5	31.6	0.63
Educational level, %				
None	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.66
Primary/secondary	51.9	47.2	56.4	0.01
Professional education/university	47.6	52.5	43.0	0.07
Occupational status, %				
Currently in school	13.6	14.0	13.2	0.93
Unemployed, disabled or retired or not working	39.5	36.6	42.3	0.06
Working	46.9	49.4	44.5	0.45
Income, %				
<20 000 €/year	35.0	35.8	34.1	0.92
≥20 000 €/year	30.3	35.1	25.8	0.02
“Does not know” or “does not answer”	34.7	29.1	40.1	<0.001
Place of residence, %				
Urban	80.4	80.2	80.6	0.52
Rural	19.6	19.8	19.4	0.89
Cheese consumption, g/day, median(range)				
Total	1.8 (0–13.5)	1.8 (0–13.5)	1.8 (0–13.5)	0.01
Fresh	2.5 (0–74.2)	2.5 (0–74.2)	6.2 (0–74.2)	<0.001
Mature	5.3 (0–39.5)	5.3 (0–39.5)	5.3 (0–39.5)	0.53
Idiazábal	1.0 (0–30.0)	1.0 (0–30.0)	1.0 (0–30.0)	0.85
Processed	1.5 (0–44.5)	1.5 (0–44.5)	1.5 (0–44.5)	<0.001
Cheese consumption, g/1,000 kcal/day, median(range)				
Total	0.7 (0–7.1)	0.7 (0–5.9)	0.8 (0–7.1)	<0.001
Fresh	1.1 (0–39.4)	0.9 (0–32.5)	2.5 (0–39.4)	<0.001
Mature	1.9 (0–20.8)	1.9 (0–17.3)	2.1 (0–20.8)	0.12
Idiazábal	0.4 (0–15.7)	0.4 (0–13.2)	0.5 (0–15.7)	0.01
Processed	0.7 (0–20.6)	0.5 (0–19.5)	0.8 (0–20.6)	<0.001

BMI, body mass index.

^aTested by χ^2 test for categorical variables and tested by Mann–Whitney U test for continuous non-parametric variables.

in women ($p < 0.001$). Table 2 shows the age and gender distribution of non-Ov/Ob, Ov and Ob. As far as cheese consumption by gender was concerned, significant differences were found for total cheese, fresh and processed cheese consumption, this being higher in women than in men ($p < 0.05$).

Association between cheese consumption and BMI and other variables

In the total sample, non-Ov/Ob participants consumed more cheese (total and different type of cheeses) than subjects classified as Ov or Ob (Table 3). In the categories of Ov and Ob, total cheese, fresh and processed cheese consumption was significantly higher in women than in men ($p < 0.05$). In men classified as Ov/Ob the consumption of fresh and mature cheese was higher than the intake of processed cheese ($p < 0.001$); nevertheless, in women classified as Ov/Ob the consumption of fresh cheese was higher than the intake of the other types of cheeses ($p < 0.01$).

The study of the association between cheese consumption and BMI, when obese participants were eliminated, indicated a decrease in total and processed cheese consumption with increasing BMI in both genders ($p < 0.05$) (Table 4). The results of linear regression, including non-obese participants, showed

Table 2. Age and gender distribution of non-overweight/obesity, overweight and obesity.

%	Non-Ov/Ob	Ov	Ob	p^a
Men, age	$n = 238$	$n = 216$	$n = 76$	
17–35 y	52.9	17.6	15.8	<0.001
36–55 y	24.4	42.1	34.2	<0.001
≥56 y	22.7	40.3	50.0	<0.001
p	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	
Women, age	$n = 356$	$n = 145$	$n = 50$	
17–35 y	45.2	15.2	14.0	<0.001
36–55 y	33.7	35.9	30.0	<0.001
≥56 y	21.1	49.0	56.0	<0.001
p	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	

Non-Ov/Ob, non-overweight/obesity; Ov, overweight; Ob, obesity.

^aTested by χ^2 test.

that only processed cheese consumption has significance impact on BMI in the total sample ($B -0.10$; SE 0.02; $p < 0.001$) and in men ($B -0.15$; SE 0.03; $p < 0.001$).

The univariate analysis with cheese consumption and socio-demographic and economic variables showed that the prevalence of excess weight was associated with fresh and processed cheeses, age, occupational status and place of residence in men; and with consumption of processed cheese, age and occupational status in women. Therefore, these factors were entered in the multivariate analysis because they were considered possible confounders.

The proportion of men with Ov was higher among individuals who reported moderate consumption of fresh cheese (second tertile) compared with those with high intake (third tertile) (Table 5). This association remained significant after controlling for age, occupational status and place of residence. Nevertheless, the relationship between processed cheese intake and obesity in men did not remain significant after adjusting for confounders. Women in the second tertile of processed cheese consumption had a significantly higher prevalence of Ov and Ob, compared with women in the third tertile, after adjustment for confounding variables (Table 6).

With regard to confounding variables in men, subjects currently in school, unemployed, disabled or retired or who did not work seemed to be protected against excess weight compared with those who worked. Furthermore, men resident in rural areas had a higher prevalence of Ov than those living in urban areas. Moreover, 17-to-35-year-old subjects seemed to be protected against overweight compared with those who were 56 or over. The multivariate model in men revealed the following ORs (95% CIs) and significance level for: occupation variable (currently in school, unemployed, disabled or retired or not working: OR <1, $p < 0.05$; Working: ref.); place of residence (Rural: OR >1; $p < 0.05$; Urban: ref.); and age (17–35 years: OR <1, $p < 0.001$; ≥56 years: ref.).

Moreover, 17- to 35-year old women seemed to be protected against overweight and obesity compared with those aged 56 or over (OR <1, $p < 0.05$). Unemployed, disabled or retired and not working women seemed to be protected against excess weight compared with those who worked (OR <1, $p < 0.05$). None of the other variables considered in this study (household

Table 3. Cheese consumption by BMI.

Cheese (g/1000 kcal/day)	Gender	Median (range)			p^a
		Non-Ov/Ob	Ov	Ob	
Total	Total	0.8 (0–7.1)	0.7 (0–6.2)	0.7 (0–5.4)	<0.001
	Men	0.8 (0–5.9)	0.7 (0–5.9)	0.5 (0–5.0)	<0.01
	Women	0.8 (0–7.1)	0.7 (0–6.2)	0.7 (0–5.4)	<0.01
	p^b	<0.001	0.02	<0.01	
Fresh	Total	1.1 (0–39.4)	1.0 (0–34.3)	2.3 (0–29.7)	0.03
	Men	1.0 (0–32.5)	0.9 (0–32.5)	0.9 (0–27.7)	0.50
	Women	2.8 (0–39.4)	1.1 (0–34.3)	4.0 (0–29.7)	0.42
	p^b	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	
Mature	Total	2.2 (0–20.8)	1.9 (0–18.3)	1.3 (0–15.8)	<0.01
	Men	2.2 (0–17.3)	1.9 (0–17.3)	1.5 (0–14.7)	0.36
	Women	2.4 (0–20.8)	1.3 (0–18.3)	1.3 (0–15.8)	0.02
	p^b	0.02	0.49	0.81	
Idiazábal	Total	0.4 (0–15.7)	0.4 (0–13.9)	0.4 (0–12.0)	0.04
	Men	0.4 (0–13.2)	0.4 (0–13.2)	0.4 (0–11.1)	0.76
	Women	0.5 (0–15.7)	0.4 (0–13.9)	0.4 (0–12.0)	0.04
	p^b	<0.001	0.33	0.86	
Processed	Total	1.6 (0–20.6)	0.6 (0–20.6)	0.5 (0–17.8)	<0.001
	Men	1.5 (0–19.5)	0 (0–19.5)	0 (0–16.5)	<0.001
	Women	1.7 (0–20.6)	0.6 (0–20.6)	1.5 (0–17.8)	<0.01
	p^b	<0.01	<0.01	<0.001	

BMI, body mass index; Non-Ov/Ob, non-overweight/obesity; Ov, overweight; Ob, obesity.

^aTested by Kruskal–Wallis H test; ^bTested by Mann–Whitney U test.

Table 4. Correlations between cheese consumption and BMI for non-obese participants.

Cheese (g/1000 kcal/day)	BMI					
	Total (n = 955)		Men (n = 454)		Women (n = 501)	
	ρ	p	ρ	p	ρ	p
Total	-0.15	<0.001	-0.11	0.02	-0.10	0.03
Fresh	-0.07	0.03	0.01	0.87	-0.03	0.45
Mature	-0.06	0.09	-0.06	0.22	-0.03	0.53
Idiazábal	-0.05	0.12	-0.02	0.62	-0.03	0.58
Processed	-0.23	<0.001	-0.23	<0.001	-0.18	<0.001

BMI, body mass index.

Table 5. Multivariate-adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for overweight and obese men across tertile categories of cheese consumption^a.

OR(95%CI)	Tertile categories of cheese consumption ^b		
	First	Second	Third
Overweight			
Total cheese	0.85 (0.43–1.69)	1.30 (0.73–2.31)	ref.
Fresh cheese	1.25 (0.78–2.00)	2.90 (1.66–5.05) [§]	ref.
Mature cheese	1.33 (0.70–2.58)	1.23 (0.68–2.25)	ref.
Processed cheese	1.41 (0.84–2.38)	1.33 (0.64–2.75)	ref.
Obesity			
Total cheese	1.19 (0.45–3.15)	1.72 (0.77–3.84)	ref.
Fresh cheese	0.89 (0.47–1.67)	1.96 (0.94–4.09)	ref.
Mature cheese	1.32 (0.52–3.31)	0.98 (0.42–2.31)	ref.
Processed cheese	2.29 (1.07–4.89) [‡]	3.18 (1.25–8.08) [‡]	ref.

^aMultinomial logistic regression: Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for being overweight or obese compared to non-overweight/obese. The present ORs are adjusted for age, household composition, educational level, occupational status, income and place of residence.

^bTertile categories of total cheese (1st, <0.5; 2nd, 0.5–2.3; 3rd, >2.3), of fresh cheese (1st, 0.0; 2nd, 0.0–2.6; 3rd, >2.6), of mature cheese (1st, <0.6; 2nd, 0.6–3.9; 3rd, >3.9) and of processed cheese (1st, <0.0; 2nd, 0.0–1.6; 3rd, >1.6); [‡]p < 0.05; [¶]p < 0.01; [§]p < 0.001 compared to third tertile.

Table 6. Multivariate-adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for overweight and obese women across tertile categories of cheese consumption^a.

OR (95%CI)	Tertile categories of cheese consumption [§]		
	First	Second	Third
Overweight			
Total cheese	1.21 (0.56–2.60)	1.35 (0.73–2.48)	ref.
Fresh cheese	3.20 (1.91–5.37) [§]	1.99 (1.15–3.42) [‡]	ref.
Mature cheese	1.33 (0.68–2.60)	0.81 (0.43–1.53)	ref.
Processed cheese	1.75 (0.99–3.06)	4.41 (2.53–7.67) [§]	ref.
Obesity			
Total cheese	0.66 (0.22–2.00)	1.36 (0.58–3.21)	ref.
Fresh cheese	1.96 (0.95–4.05)	1.06 (0.47–2.39)	ref.
Mature cheese	2.24 (0.86–5.79)	0.79 (0.30–2.05)	ref.
Processed cheese	1.25 (0.52–3.02)	4.92 (2.18–11.14) [§]	ref.

^aMultinomial logistic regression: Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for being overweight or obese compared to non-overweight/obese. The present ORs are adjusted for age, household composition, educational level, occupational status, income and place of residence.

[†] Tertile categories of fresh cheese (1st, <1.0; 2nd, 1.0–4.5; 3rd, >4.5), of mature cheese (1st, <0.6; 2nd, 0.6–2.8; 3rd, >2.8) and of processed cheese (1st, <0.0; 2nd, 0.0–2.7; 3rd, >2.7); [‡]p < 0.05; [¶]p < 0.01; [§]p < 0.001 compared to third tertile.

composition, educational level and income) was significantly associated with Ov or Ob, either in men or in women.

Discussion

In this representative Basque adult population, cheese consumption, in general, was negatively associated with BMI in both genders; and particularly the low or moderate intake of fresh and processed cheese was associated with higher prevalence of excess weight (Ov and/or Ob).

The prevalence of overweight/obesity was 55.1% of men and 35.4% of women. Other studies have also found a higher prevalence of Ov/Ob among men than among women in the Basque Country (Perez et al., 2010) and in Spain (Gutiérrez-Fisac et al., 2012; National Statistics Institute, 2010). Differences in lifestyle and socio-demographic variables, as well as other genetic or behavioural factors could explain these gender difference (Gao et al., 2011).

Regarding cheese consumption in the sample studied, expressed as g/1000 kcal, total cheese intake and intake by different types of cheeses (fresh and processed, specifically) were higher in women than in men. Nonetheless, in the Italian population cheese consumption did not differ by gender (Donfrancesco et al., 2008) and other authors, unlike our results, obtained higher intakes in men than in women (Touvier et al., 2011). Advertising campaigns to promote dairy foods consumption which target women in order to prevent osteoporosis may influence cheese intake in the Basque Country population. What is more, our outcomes from fresh cheese, that is to say the higher consumption of fresh cheese in women than in men, are consistent with those of other studies (Touvier et al., 2011) and suggest that women are concerned about their diet and follow a healthier dietary pattern than men (Beydoun et al., 2008).

Our study confirmed some previous findings regarding the negative association between cheese intakes and excess weight (Bradlee et al., 2010); since negative correlations for non-obese participants were found between BMI and total cheese consumption and intake of processed cheese, in both genders. Additionally, multivariate multinomial logistic regression analysis revealed negative associations between overweight and fresh cheese intake in men and women, and between excess weight (Ov and Ob) and processed cheese consumption in women. Participants with low or moderate intakes of these types of cheese had a higher prevalence of Ov and/or Ob, compared with those with high consumption. These results agree with previous cross-sectional studies that have shown a negative association between dairy consumption and body weight in adults (Brooks et al., 2006; Mirmiran et al., 2005; Rosell et al., 2004) and support the starting hypothesis of the current study. Nevertheless, other studies have found both a positive association between cheese consumption frequency and BMI (Beydoun et al., 2008; Houston et al., 2008) as well as no relationship (Shao & Chin, 2011).

At the beginning of the present study, it was hypothesized that fresh cheese consumption would be negatively associated with excess weight due to its lower energy density and its lower content in fat compared with other cheeses. Nevertheless, processed cheese intake was also negatively associated with Ov and Ob, surprisingly. Possible components of cheeses that could explain these relationships are calcium, lipid and bioactive peptide content and interactions with human gut-microbiota. It has been suggested that dietary calcium may play a key role in the regulation of energy metabolism by down-regulating the concentrations of circulating parathyroid hormone and calcitriol. This increases the uptake of calcium in adipocytes, which in turn stimulates lipolysis and inhibits fatty acid synthesis (Zemel et al., 2004). Dietary calcium may also affect energy regulation by

661 increasing fecal fat and, hence, the excretion of this energy-rich
 662 nutrient (Jacobsen et al., 2005). Lipids of cheeses such as short-
 663 and medium-length fatty acids, and conjugated linoleic acid
 664 (CLA) have shown some beneficial effects on adiposity
 665 (Holmberg & Thelin, 2013; Silveira et al., 2007), as have
 666 bioactive components of cheeses (e.g. calcium, leucine and
 667 peptides which inhibit angiotensin-converting enzyme) (Zemel,
 668 2005). On the other hand, dairy products such as cheese seem to
 669 benefit gut microbiota that have been related to health conditions
 670 including obesity (Tuohy et al., 2009).

671 The different amounts and types of cheese consumed by men
 672 and women, mentioned above, may have resulted in dissimilar
 673 prevalences of Ov and Ob and different confounding variables
 674 selected in multivariate analysis. Regarding the confounding
 675 variable *occupational status*, men with high intakes of fresh
 676 cheese and non-workers (currently in school, unemployed,
 677 disabled or retired or who did not work) seemed to be protected
 678 against overweight compared with those in work. Other authors,
 679 however, have found negative associations between occupational
 680 status and Ov/Ob in men (Vernay et al., 2009). Along similar
 681 lines, women with high intakes of processed cheese and
 682 unemployed, disabled, retired or not working are more likely to
 683 be Ob compared with those in work, in the present study.

684 Furthermore, our findings, in agreement with other studies
 685 (Neovius & Rasmussen, 2008; Padez, 2006), suggest that the
 686 confounding variable *place of residence* influences the prevalence
 687 of Ov and Ob; men with high intakes of fresh cheese and resident
 688 in rural areas had a higher prevalence of overweight than those
 689 who lived in urban areas. Moreover, in multivariate analysis
 690 men with high consumption of fresh cheese and women with
 691 high intakes of fresh and processed cheese and between 17 and 35
 692 years old seemed to be protected against excess weight (Ov and/or
 693 Ob) compared with those who are ≥ 56 years. These results could
 694 be partially explained by decreasing basal metabolic rates and
 695 reducing degrees of physical activity with age (Martínez-Ros
 696 et al., 2001; Norman et al., 2002); nevertheless, the lack of
 697 physical activity data did not allow a confirmation of this
 698 explanation in the current study.

699 Several limitations should be considered when examining
 700 the results of the current study. Cross-sectional data were used to
 701 identify the association of cheese consumption with weight status,
 702 whereas future studies that use longitudinal data will provide
 703 stronger evidence of this association. However, it must be taken
 704 into account that appropriate analysis of cross-sectional data
 705 represents a valuable initial step in identifying relations between
 706 diet and disease. Although an adjustment for a wide range of
 707 potential variables was attempted, lack of control for nutrient
 708 intake such as saturated and unsaturated lipids and for lifestyles
 709 such as physical activity might have confounded the findings.
 710 Moreover, the validity of self-reported weight and height for
 711 measuring prevalence of obesity has been questioned (Nyholm
 712 et al., 2007; Romero-Corral et al., 2008). To our knowledge, there
 713 are no studies concerning the validity of self-reported height and
 714 weight in the Basque adult population, so it was not possible
 715 to apply correction factors to these anthropometric data.
 716 Nevertheless, some authors have suggested that these data could
 717 be sufficiently precise and appropriate for epidemiological
 718 studies (Basterra-Gortari et al., 2007; Spencer et al., 2002).
 719 Other limitations of this study are the absence of other anthropo-
 720 metric indices relating to obesity and the lack of corrections
 721 of potential over- or underestimation of dietary intake.

722 The current study has several strengths, including the use of a
 723 population sample that is representative of the Basque Country,
 724 the use of logistic regression models and simultaneous adjustment
 725 of socio-demographic and economic confounding variables in
 726 the association of cheese consumption with Ov and Ob, and the

finding of cross-sectional associations between intake of different
 types of cheese and excess weight.

Conclusions

In summary, the current study demonstrates a negative association
 between consumption of different types of cheese (fresh and
 processed cheese) and excess weight. In addition to cheese intake,
 socio-demographic and economic factors such as gender, age,
 occupational status and place of residence were associated with
 excess weight. In spite of the aforementioned limitations, these
 results represent a contribution to the in-depth study of relation-
 ships between cheese consumption and excess weight and could
 be useful in designing strategies to prevent and/or treat over-
 weight/obesity. It is suggested that future studies assess this issue
 further by addressing those components of cheese and the related
 mechanisms of action which are responsible for this effect.


Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Celia Sánchez, Laura
 Ansotegui and Elixabete Arrese who contributed significantly to the
 initiation and conduct of the study per se.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are
 responsible for the content and writing of this article. This work was
 financially supported by ERA-NET SAFEFOODERA (7PM, EU
 Framework Programme; LisRisk 08196).

References

- Barba G, Russo P. 2006. Dairy foods, dietary calcium and obesity: a short
 review of the evidence. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 16:445–451.
- Basterra-Gortari FJ, Bes-Rastrollo M, Forga LI, Martínez JA,
 Martínez-González MA. 2007. Validación del índice de masa corporal
 auto-referido en la Encuesta Nacional de Salud. *Anales del Sistema
 Sanitario de Navarra* 30:373–381.
- Beydoun MA, Gary TL, Caballero BH, Lawrence RS, Cheskin LJ, Wang
 Y. 2008. Ethnic differences in dairy and related nutrient consumption
 among US adults and their association with obesity, central obesity,
 and the metabolic syndrome. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87:1914–1925.
- Bradlee ML, Singer MR, Qureshi MM, Moore LL. 2010. Food group
 intake and central obesity among children and adolescents in the Third
 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III).
Public Health Nutr 13:797–805.
- Brooks BM, Rajeshwari R, Nicklas TA, Yang SJ, Berenson GS. 2006.
 Association of calcium intake, dairy product consumption with
 overweight status in young adults (1995–1996): the Bogalusa Heart
 Study. *J Am Coll Nutr* 25:523–532.
- Centre d'Ensenyament Superior de Nutrició i Dietètica. 2003. Tablas
 de Composición de Alimentos del Centre d'Ensenyament Superior
 de Nutrició i Dietètica (CESNID). Programa de Cálculo Nutricional
 CESNID 1.0 [CD-rom]. Barcelona, Spain: Edicions Universitat de
 Barcelona.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2009. Defining childhood
 overweight and obesity.  Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Davies MK, Heaney RP, Robert R, Recker RR, Lappe JM, Barger-Lux
 MJ, Rafferty K, Hinders S. 2000. Calcium intake and body weight.
J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85:4635–4638.
- Deleuze Isasi P. 2001. Código Alimentario Español y disposiciones
 complementarias. Madrid, Spain: Grupo Anaya.
- Donfrancesco C, Lo Noce C, Brignoli O, Riccardi G, Ciccarelli P,
 Dima F, Palmieri L, Giampaoli S. 2008. Italian network for obesity
 and cardiovascular disease surveillance: a pilot project. *BMC Fam
 Pract* 9:53.
- EUSTAT, Municipal Inhabitant Statistics. 2011. Population of the
 Basque Country classified by year of birth and age according to
 Historic Territory and sex. 2011/01/01. Available from http://en.eustat.es/elementos/ele0008400/ti_Population_of_the_Basque_Country_classified_by_year_of_birth_and_age_according_to_Historic_Territory_an_sex_1-1-2011/tbl0008457_i.html#axzz2LGtwPqA9 [last accessed
 12 November 2012].

727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792

Q3

Q4

- 793 Fumeron F, Lamri A, Abi Khalil C, Jaziri R, Porchay-Baldérelli I, 859
 794 Lantieri O, Vol S, et al. 2011. Dairy consumption and the incidence of 860
 795 hyperglycemia and the metabolic syndrome: results from a French 861
 796 prospective study, data from the Epidemiological Study on the Insulin 862
 797 Resistance Syndrome (DESIR). *Diabetes Care* 34:813–817.
- 798 Gao Y, Ran XW, Xie XH, Lu HL, Chen T, Ren Y, Long Y, Tian HM. 863
 799 2011. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among Chinese Yi 864
 800 nationality: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Publ Health* 11:919. 865
 801 Gutiérrez-Fisac JL, Guallar-Castillón P, León-Muñoz LM, Graciani A, 866
 802 Banegas JR, Rodríguez-Artalejo F. 2012. Prevalence of general and 867
 803 abdominal obesity in the adult population of Spain, 2008–2010: the 868
 804 ENRICA study. *Obes Rev* 13:388–392.
- 805 Holmberg S, Thelin A. 2013. High dairy fat intake related to less central 869
 806 obesity: a male cohort study with 12 years' follow-up. *Scand J Prim 870
 807 Health Care* 31:89–94.
- 808 Houston DK, Driver KE, Bush AJ, Kritchevsky SB. 2008. The association 871
 809 between cheese consumption and cardiovascular risk factors among 872
 810 adults. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 21:129–140.
- 811 Jacobsen R, Lorenzen JK, Toubro S, KrogMikkelsen I, Astrup A. 2005. 873
 812 Effect of short-term high dietary calcium intake on 24 h energy 874
 813 expenditure, fat oxidation, and fecal fat excretion. *Int J Obes Relat 875
 814 Metab Disord* 29:292–301.
- 815 Mann JI. 2002. Diet and risk of coronary heart disease and type 2 876
 816 diabetes. *The Lancet* 360:783–789.
- 817 Marques-Vidal P, Gonçalves A, Dias CM. 2006. Milk intake is inversely 877
 818 related to obesity in men and in young women: data from the 878
 819 Portuguese Health Interview Survey 1998–1999. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 30: 879
 820 88–93.
- 821 Martínez-Ros MT, Tormo MJ, Navarro C, Chirlaque MD, Pérez-Flores D. 880
 822 2001. Extremely high prevalence of overweight and obesity in Murcia, 881
 823 a Mediterranean region in south-east Spain. *Int J Obes Relat Metab 882
 824 Disord* 25:1372–1380.
- 825 McCarty MF. 2005. Magnesium may mediate the favorable impact of 883
 826 whole grains on insulin sensitivity by acting as a mild calcium 884
 827 antagonist. *Med Hypotheses* 64:619–627.
- 828 Mirmiran P, Esmailzadeh A, Azizi F. 2005. Dairy consumption and body 885
 829 mass index: an inverse relationship. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 29:115–121.
- 830 National Cancer Institute. 2008. NHANES Food Questionnaire. Available 886
 831 from <http://www.riskfactor.cancer.gov/diet/usualintakes/ffq.html> [last 887
 832 accessed 23 July 2011].
- 833 National Statistics Institute. 2001th population and housing census. 888
 834 Madrid, Spain: National Statistics Institute. Available from [http:// 889
 835 www.ine.es/censo/es/inicio.jsp#](http://www.ine.es/censo/es/inicio.jsp#) [last accessed 27 July 2012]; 2001.
- 836 National Statistics Institute. 2010. Encuesta Europea de Salud en España 890
 837 2009. Spain: Ministerio de Sanidad, Política Social e Igualdad. 891
 838 Neovius M, Rasmussen F. 2008. Place of residence and obesity in 892
 839 1,578,694 young Swedish men between 1969 and 2005. *Obesity (Silver 893
 840 Spring)* 16:671–676.
- 841 NIAID AIDS Clinical Trials Group. Available from [https://www.fstrf.org/ 894
 842 apps/cfm/apps/common/QOLAdherenceForms/resources/actg/forms/ 895
 843 spanish/q10749_spa.pdf](https://www.fstrf.org/apps/cfm/apps/common/QOLAdherenceForms/resources/actg/forms/spanish/q10749_spa.pdf) [last accessed 12 July 2011].
- 844 Nilsson M, Stenberg M, Frid AH, Holst JJ, Björck IME. 2004. Glycemia 896
 845 and insulinemia in healthy subjects after lactose-equivalent meals of 897
 846 milk and other food proteins: the role of plasma amino acids and 898
 847 incretins. *Am J Clin Nutr* 80:1246–1253.
- 848 Norman A, Belloc R, Vaida F, Wolk A. 2002. Total physical activity in 899
 849 relation to age, body mass, health and other factors in a cohort of 900
 850 Swedish men. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 26:670–675.
- 851 Nyholm M, Gullberg B, Merlo J, Lundqvist-Persson C, Råstam L, 901
 852 Lindblad U. 2007. The validity of obesity based on self-reported 902
 853 weight and height: implications for population studies. *Obesity (Silver 903
 854 Spring)* 15:197–208.
- 855 Official State Gazette (Boletín Oficial del Estado) 19 July 2002 [Order 4 904
 856 2002 July, by which the modification of the Regulation of the 905
 857 Denomination of Origin “Idiazábal” and its Regulating Advice is 906
 858 approved]. Spain. 907
 859 Padez C. 2006. Trends in overweight and obesity in Portuguese conscripts 908
 860 from 1986 to 2000 in relation to place of residence and educational 909
 861 level. *Publ Health* 120:946–952.
- 862 Pereira MA, Jacobs DR, Van Horn L, Slaterry ML, Kartashov AI, Ludwig 910
 863 DS. 2002. Dairy consumption, obesity, and the insulin resistance 911
 864 syndrome in young adults (The CARDIA Study). *JAMA* 287: 912
 865 2081–2089.
- 866 Perez Y, Esnaola S, Ruiz R, de Diego M, Aldasoro E, Calvo M, 913
 867 Bacigalupe A, Llano J. 2010. Encuesta de Salud de la Comunidad 914
 868 Autónoma del País Vasco 2007. Vitoria- Gasteiz, Spain: Departamento 915
 869 de Sanidad y Consumo. Available from [http://www.osanet.euskadi.net/ 916
 870 r85-escav/es/contenidos/informacion/encuesta_salud_publicaciones/es 917
 871 escav/adjuntos/Encuesta%20salud%202007.pdf](http://www.osanet.euskadi.net/r85-escav/es/contenidos/informacion/encuesta_salud_publicaciones/es_escav/adjuntos/Encuesta%20salud%202007.pdf) [last accessed 1 August 918
 872 2012].
- 873 Rajpathak SN, Rimm EB, Rosner B, Willett WC, Hu FB. 2006. Calcium 919
 874 and dairy intakes in relation to long-term weight gain in US men. 920
 875 *Am J Clin Nutr* 83:559–566.
- 876 Real Decreto 269/2000, de 25 de febrero. Available from 921
 877 <http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2000/03/08/pdfs/A09503-09505.pdf> [last 922
 878 accessed 23 July 2012].
- 879 Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Sierra-Johnson J, Thomas RJ, Collazo- 923
 880 Clavell ML, Korinek J, Allison TG, et al. 2008. Accuracy of body mass 924
 881 index in diagnosing obesity in the adult general population. *Int J Obes 925
 882 (Lond)* 32:959–966.
- 883 Rosell M, Johansson G, Berglund L, Vessby B, de Faire U, Hellénus ML. 926
 884 2004. Associations between the intake of dairy fat and calcium and 927
 885 abdominal obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 28:1427–1434.
- 886 Shao Q, Chin KV. 2011. Survey of American food trends and the growing 928
 887 obesity epidemic. *Nutr Res Pract* 5:253–259.
- 888 Sicras-Mainar A, Gil J, Mora T, Navarro-Artieda R, Ayma J. 2012. 929
 889 Healthcare use and costs associated with obesity in Badalona, Spain: 930
 890 a study protocol. *BMJ Open* 2:e000547.
- 891 Silveira MB, Carraro R, Monereo S, Tébar J. 2007. Conjugated linoleic 931
 892 acid (CLA) and obesity. *Public Health Nutr* 10:1181–1186.
- 893 Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. 2002. Validity of self- 932
 894 reported height and weight in 4808 EPIC-Oxford participants. *Public 933
 895 Health Nutr* 5:61–65.
- 896 The Agriculture, Fishing and Food Department, Basque Government. 934
 897 2007. Estudio cuantitativo del consumo de alimentos en la CAPV. 935
 898 Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain: Basque Government.
- 899 Touvier M, Méjean C, Kesse-Guyot E, Vergnaud AC, Hercberg S, 936
 900 Castetbon K. 2011. Sociodemographic and economic characteristics 937
 901 associated with dairy intake vary across genders. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 24: 938
 902 74–85.
- 903 Tremblay A, Gilbert JA. 2011. Human obesity: is insufficient calcium/ 939
 904 dairy intake part of the problem? *J Am Coll Nutr* 30:449S–453S.
- 905 Tuohy KM, Costabile A, Fava F. 2009. The gut microbiota in obesity and 940
 906 metabolic disease – a novel therapeutic target. *Nutri Ther Metabol* 27: 941
 907 113–133.
- 908 Vernay M, Malon A, Oleko A, Salanave B, Roudier C, Szego E, 942
 909 Deschamps V, et al. 2009. Association of socioeconomic status with 943
 910 overall overweight and central obesity in men and women: the French 944
 911 Nutrition and Health Survey 2006. *BMC Publ Health* 9:215.
- 912 WHO. 2000. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. 945
 913 Report of a WHO Consultation. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Technical 946
 914 Report Series 894.
- 915 Zemel MB. 2004. Role of calcium and dairy products in energy 947
 916 partitioning and weight management. *Am J Clin Nutr* 79:907S–912S.
- 917 Zemel MB. 2005. The role of dairy foods in weight management. *J Am 948
 918 Coll Nutr* 24:537S–546S.
- 919 Zemel MB, Sharon LM. 2004. Dietary calcium and dairy modulation 949
 920 of adiposity and obesity risk. *Nutr Rev* 62:125–131.
- 921 912
 922 913
 923 914
 924 915
 925 916
 926 917
 927 918
 928 919
 929 920
 930 921
 931 922
 932 923
 933 924
 934 925
 935 926
 936 927
 937 928
 938 929
 939 930
 940 931
 941 932
 942 933
 943 934
 944 935
 945 936
 946 937
 947 938
 948 939
 949 940
 950 941
 951 942
 952 943
 953 944
 954 945
 955 946
 956 947
 957 948
 958 949
 959 950
 960 951
 961 952
 962 953
 963 954
 964 955
 965 956
 966 957
 967 958
 968 959
 969 960
 970 961
 971 962
 972 963
 973 964
 974 965
 975 966
 976 967
 977 968
 978 969
 979 970
 980 971
 981 972
 982 973
 983 974
 984 975
 985 976
 986 977
 987 978
 988 979
 989 980
 990 981
 991 982
 992 983
 993 984
 994 985
 995 986
 996 987
 997 988
 998 989
 999 990
 1000 991

Proof Corrections

- Second author's name is Ana and surname Rocandio Pablo.
- Page 1, line 29: "in multivariate analysis were: occupational status...".
- Page 2, line 254: "Educational attainment was re-grouped..." and line 260: "... was re-grouped into: working...".
- **Q1**: page 4, lines 489-491: "Moreover, 17-to-35-year-old subjects of both genders seemed to be protected against overweight compared with those who were 56 or over (OR<1, p<0.05)". Lines 497-499: "Moreover, 17 to 35 year old women seemed to be protected against overweight and obesity compared with those aged 56 or over (OR <1, p<0.05). unemployed, disabled or ...".
- Page 4, lines 494-495: "...; working: ref.); place of residence (rural: OR>1, p <0.05; urban: ref.); and age ...".
- **Q2**: page 5, lines 567-568:
"2nd, 0.0-1.6; 3rd, >1.6).
‡P<0.05; ¶P<0.01; §P<0.001 compared to third tertile"
- **Q2**: page 5, lines 592-593:
"... 3rd, >2.7).
‡P<0.05; ¶P<0.01; §P<0.001 compared to third tertile"
- Page 5, line 653: "...these relationships are: calcium, lipid and bioactive peptide...".
- **Q3**: page 6, lines 777-778: "Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2009. Defining childhood overweight and obesity. Atlanta, GA: Center for Disease Control and Prevention."
- **Q4**: These references are electronic versions and they have not last page number.
- **Q5**: page 7, lines 912-913: "~~Zemel MB. (2005). The role of dairy foods in weight management. J Am Coll Nutr 24(6 suppl):537S-46S.~~"