# TEXTUAL PROBLEMS IN THE POEMS OF SIDONIUS APOLLINARIS 

Abstract: Several passages of Sidonius Apollinaris are explained.<br>Key words: Sidonius Apollinaris, textual criticism.<br>Resumen: Se explican diversos pasajes de Sidonius Apollinaris. Palabras clave: Sidonius Apollinaris, crítica textual.

## 2. 8

> tuque o cui laurea, Iane, annua debetur, religa torpore soluto quavis fronde comas
S. Bailey ${ }^{1}$ pointed out that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of quavis fronde, and suggested that the poet wrote quavis fronte "on whichever brow you please." S. Bailey explained that Janus "was two-faced (bifrons), and poets are apt to remind us of the fact." Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. Previous scholars have failed to understand that quavis ${ }^{2}$ means here quavis ratione. We should translate as follows:
"Bind your hair with a garland for whatever reason you like (quavis) ${ }^{3}$."
2. 487
circumspice taedas
antiquas: par nulla tibi sic copula praesto est.
S. Bailey ${ }^{4}$ noted that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. I would like to suggest that we should translate as follows:
"no equal (par) union (i.e. between two royals) thus presents itself as a hostile rival (scil. to your wedding) to you" ${ }^{\text {" }}$.

[^0]${ }^{4}$ Op. cit., page 23.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. praesto 11, B: "With esse, to present one's self in a hostile manner, to resist, oppose."

Praesto esse alicui means either "be at someone's disposal" (to help) or "approach someone in a hostile manner."

## 2. 429

> pectora bis cingunt zonae, parvisque papillis invidiam facit ipse sinus.

Scholars (cf. S. Bailey, op. cit., page 23 f.) have been puzzled by these two lines. The most precise is Anderson, who notes in his Loeb edition ad loc. "The meaning is not clear ... invidiam facere regularly means 'to bring reproach upon'". The meaning of the passage is clear, as I shall now show. Sinus in the sense "upper fold of her robe" (cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. sinus II, A) is here opposed to pars extima pepli, line $430^{6}$. The sense is that the upper fold of her robe brought reproach upon her small breasts ${ }^{7}$, because her décolletage was too daring ${ }^{8}$.
5. 293

$$
\text { sic fatur et illum }
$$

rure iubet patrio suetos mutare labores,
fatorum currente rota, quo disceret agri
quid possessorem maneat, quos denique mores
ius civile paret, ne solam militis artem
ferret ad imperium.
line 295 agri Luetjohann, agro codd.
The reader will note that Luetjohann ${ }^{9}$ printed the alteration agri in line 295. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows:
"so that he might learn what is in store for a possessor (i.e. an owner) in his land (agro) ${ }^{10}$."
5. 312

> iam tunc imperium praesentis principis aurea volvebant bona fata colu; sed publica damna invidiam fugere viri. quicumque fuerunt nomen in Augustum lecti, tenuere relictum Caesaribus solium; postquam tu capta laboras, hic quod habet fecit.
S. Bailey ${ }^{11}$ noted that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of this passage. Perfect sense can, however, be made of the transmitted text if we place a full stop after fugere, and translate as follows:

[^1][^2]"but public calamities avoided envy. And those men who were chosen (viri quicumque fuerunt / ... lecti) ..."
5. 415

> pars poplite secto
> mortis ad invidiam vivit.

Scholars ${ }^{12}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of these words. I would like to suggest that Death ${ }^{13}$ has been personified. We should translate as follows:
"Some live, but their knees have been cut due to the envy of Death (Mortis ad ${ }^{14}$ invidiam)." ${ }^{15}$
7. 97
plus, summe deorum,
sum iusto tibi visa potens quod Parthicus ultro restituit mea signa Sapor positoque tiara funera Crassorum flevit dum purgat. et hinc iam (pro dolor!) excusso populi iure atque senatus quod timui incurri; sum tota in principe, tota principis, et fio lacerum de Caesare regnum, quae quondam regina fui.
S. Bailey ${ }^{16}$ noted that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of the words quod timui incurri. I would like to suggest that we should translate as follows:

$$
\text { "because (quod }{ }^{17} \text { ) I was afraid, I committed a fault (incurri }{ }^{18} \text { )." }
$$

7. 164

> solverat in partum generosa puerpera casti ventris onus; manifesta dedi mox signa futuri principis ac totam fausto trepidi patris aulam implevi augurio. licet idem grandia nati culparet fata et pueri iam regna videret, sed sibi commissum tanto sub pignore cernens mundi depositum, ne quid tibi, Roma, periret, iuvit fortunam studio.
${ }^{12}$ Cf. S. Bailey, op. cit., page 25.
${ }^{13}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. mors I, B, I: "Personified."
${ }^{14}$ Cf. Lewis- Short, s.v. ad I, D, 3, a: "The moving cause, in consequence of."
${ }^{15}$ For invida as an epithet of Mors cf. Carter, Epitheta deorum, s.v. Mors.
${ }^{16}$ Op. cit., page 25.
${ }_{17}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. quod I, 1: "That, in that, because."
${ }^{18}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. incurro II, B, 2: "To commit a fault."
S. Bailey ${ }^{19}$ was puzzled by the meaning of this passage. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows:
"although he found fault with the great calamities ( fata $^{20}$ ) of his son, and foresaw already the tyranny (regna ${ }^{21}$ ) of the boy ..."
7. 195
quam pulchrum, cum forte domum post lustra revertens
horrore splenderet apri virtusque repugnans
proderet invitum per fortia facta pudorem!
S. Bailey ${ }^{22}$ argued that invictum should be printed in line 197. I would like to point out, however, that the mss. reading invitum provides perfect sense. We should translate as follows:
"he should be illustrious (splenderet) due to terror (borrore), and resisting the courage of a boar, he should show a reluctant (invitum) blush ( pudorem $^{23}$ ) due to his brave deeds."
7. 248
qui proxima quaeque
discursu, flammis, ferro, feritate, rapinis
delebant, pacis fallentes nomen inane.
Scholars ${ }^{24}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. I would like to suggest that we should translate as follows:
"they destroyed all things near them by the rape of Peace (rapinis / ... Pacis ${ }^{25}$ ), concealing a worthless reputation (nomen ${ }^{26}$ )."
7. 272
sic Phrygium Emathia victorem cuspide poscens Aeacides caeso luctum frenavit amico, per mortes tot, Troia, tuas iam vilia per se agmina contentus ruere strictumque per amplos exserere gladium populos; natat obruta tellus sanguine, dumque hebetat turba grave caedua telum, absens in cuncto sibi vulnere iam cadit Hector.
${ }^{19}$ Op. cit., page 25 f .
${ }^{20}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. fatum II, B, 2, a: "Bad fortune."
${ }^{21}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. regnum B, 2: "In a bad sense, despotism, tyranny." Cf. Ovid, Fasti 6, 189 damnatus crimine regni.
${ }^{22}$ Op. cit., page 26.
${ }^{23}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. pudor II, B: "A blush."

The "blush" of line 197 is paralleled by line 199 roseo sudum radiabat ab ore.
${ }^{24}$ Cf. S. Bailey, op. cit., page 26.
${ }^{25}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. pax 2 : "Personified: Pax, the goddess of peace."
${ }^{26}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. nomen II, A: "Of ill repute, bad reputation."

Scholars ${ }^{27}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of line 274. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows:
"due to your many deaths, Troy, he was content to cast down (ruere ${ }^{28}$ ) troops (agmina) which were now worthless due to him."
7. 295

> haec post gesta viri (temet, Styx livida, testor) intemerata mihi praefectus iura regebat, et caput hoc sibimet solitis defessa ruinis Gallia suscipiens Getica pallebat ab ira.
S. Bailey ${ }^{29}$ explained that Jupiter is speaking. I would like to point out that Jupiter states that the laws of Rome are "inviolate" (intemerata ${ }^{30}$ ) as far as he is concerned. The temple of Jupiter Optimus Maximus (the guardian of Rome) stood on the Capitol ${ }^{31}$.
> obstupuere duces pariter Scythicusque senatus et timuere suam pacem ne forte negaret.
> sic rutilus Phaethonta levem cum carperet axis
> iam pallente die flagrantique excita mundo
> pax elementorum fureret, sqq.
S. Bailey ${ }^{32}$ was puzzled by the meaning of pallente in line 406. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. The Day $\left(\right.$ Dies $\left.^{33}\right)$ is said to have grown pale with fear (pallente ${ }^{34}$ ) when Phaethon drove his chariot.
${ }^{27}$ Cf. S. Bailey, op. cit., page 26 f., who alters iam into tam.
${ }^{28}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. ruo II: "Act., to cast down with violence."

In other words, iam means that, after Achilles had killed so many enemies (per mortes tot), the agmina he further killed were by then (iam) vilia per se "of no importance in themselves and their deaths would bring him no glory", as S. Bailey himself writes.
${ }^{29}$ Op. cit., page 27.
${ }^{30}$ Cf. Virgil, Aen. 2, 143 intemerata fides.
${ }^{31}$ Mihi is taken by editors to go with regebat ("le héros fut l'un de mes Préfets", Loyen; "he was my Prefect" (Anderson). S. Bailey thinks that intemerata mibi must be placed within the parenthesis, and that Styx intemerata mibi alludes to Claud. Rapt. 1, 111, Stygiamque paludem, di cuius iurare timent et fallere numen. But intemerata would be inappropriate to Styx, because it is obvious that "Jupiter has never broken an
oath by the Styx" (so S. Bailey). Here intemerata refers to iura: the laws of the emperor are inviolable: cf. Verg. Aen. 2, 143 intemerata fides, as explained by Forbiger, who refers to 2, 541 iura fidemque.

Mihi is an "ethic dative", as S. Bailey has not perceived because iura (cf. Verg. Aen. 2, 141 ff ., 541) are the prerogative of the gods; intemerata is predicative. In his encomium of Avitus, Jupiter says "he administered on my behalf the laws that were not violated": Avitus' government was so severe that no law was violated under his rule.
${ }^{32}$ Op. cit., page 28.
${ }^{33}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. dies III: "Dies personified ... I. q. Sol ... coupled with Mensis and Annus, Ov. Met. 2, 25."
${ }^{34}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. palleo II, B: "To grow pale at anything, to be anxious or fearful."

The personified Dies became pale with concern and fear when she saw that the inexperienced Phaethon was imprudently driving the chariot.

$$
\text { nec dicere saltim }
$$

desidiae obtentu possum te proelia nolle:
pacem fortis amas. iam partes sternit Avitus;
insuper et Geticas praemissus continet iras
Messianus; adhuc mandasti, et ponimus arma.
S. Bailey ${ }^{35}$ noted that the meaning of this passage has puzzled the critics. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows:
"Avitus is now destroying your side (partes ${ }^{36}$ )."
11. 86
te quoque multimodis ambisset, Hiberia, ludis axe Pelops, cursu Hippomenes luctaque Achelous, Aeneas bellis spectatus, Gorgone Perseus.
S. Bailey ${ }^{37}$ explained that "Venus compliments the bride, Hiberia." Textual alteration is, however once again not necessary. The poet states that Aeneas was admired (spectatus ${ }^{38}$ ) by Lavinia due to warfare (bellis), whereas Perseus was admired by Andromeda due to his conquest of the Gorgon.
15. 162

> Taenaron hic frustra bis rapta coniuge pulsat Thrax fidibus, legem postquam temeravit Averni, et prodesse putans iterum non respicit umbram.
> hic vovet Alceste praelato coniuge vitam
> rumpere, quam cernas Parcarum vellere in ipso
> nondum pernetam fato praestante salutem.
S. Bailey ${ }^{39}$ explained that the poet refers here to the story of Orpheus and Eurydice. Orpheus ${ }^{40}$ guided Eurydice from Hades by the sound of his lyre. The poet states that Orpheus disturbs (pulsat ${ }^{41}$ ) Taenarus with his lyre (fidibus), and thinking that not looking back is in his favour (prodesse), he does not look back at Eurydice's shade again.
${ }^{35}$ Op. cit., page 29.
${ }^{36}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. pars II, A: "A party, faction, side, etc. (usu. in plur.)." Note that the possessive adjective has been omitted, as often in direct speech.
${ }^{37}$ Op. cit., page 30 .
${ }^{38}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. spectatus B: "In gen., looked up to, respected, esteemed."
S. Bailey argued that the text makes no sense. However, ludis, as S. Bailey has not perceived, implies
that Aeneas was such a good soldier that bellum was for him a ludus, cf. Thes. s.v. ludus 1794, 22.
${ }^{39}$ Op. cit., page 30 .
${ }^{40}$ Cf. Robert Graves, The Greek Myths, Middlesex 1972, reprint, vol. I, page 112.
${ }^{41}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. pulso II, A: "... to disturb" ... (urbes rumoribus, Petr. p. 679).
S. Bailey was perplexed by the meaning of prodesse. His perplexity is, however, not justified.
15. 193
perge libens, neu tu damnes fortasse iugari, quod noster iubet ille senex qui non piger hausit numina condemnans Anyto pallente venenum.
line 195 contemplans Wilamowitz : v. l. condempnans, condempnens, contempnens
S. Bailey ${ }^{42}$ noted that this passage refers to "Socrates drinking the hemlock." I would like to point out that the variant reading contemnens provides perfect sense. Socrates is described as "despising the gods" (numina contemnens ${ }^{43}$ ). Savaro explained that there is a reference to Socrates' "alleged atheism ${ }^{44}$ ".

## 17.7

nec per multiplices abaco splendente cavernas
argenti nigri pondera defodiam.
S. Bailey ${ }^{45}$ noted that the critics have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. He correctly sees that Loyen takes defodere as a synonym of effodere, but maintains that such a meaning of defodere = effodere does not exist. In reality, defodere is well attested, in late Latin, in the sense "effodere", "herausgraben": cf. Mittellateinisches Wörterbuch, München 2007, s.v. defodio, I, b, $\gamma$.
22.7

> et licet in carmen non passim laxet habenas Phoebus et hic totis non pandat carbasa fandi, quisque tamen tantos non laudans ore penates inspicis, inspiceris: resonat sine voce voluntas; nam tua te tacitum livere silentia clamant.
S. Bailey ${ }^{46}$ was puzzled by the meaning of line 8 . Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. Hic means "at this time": cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. hic 11: "Of time ... hic regina gravem poposcit pateram, Verg. Aen. 1, 728."
22. 74
laeva parte tenet vasta dulcedine raucam caelato Pythone lyram
${ }^{42}$ Op. cit., page 31.
${ }^{43}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. comtemno : "despise, disdain."
${ }^{44}$ S. Bailey thinks that condemnans means that "the death of Socrates was a condemnation of the gods who allowed it to happen." S. Bailey contradicts Savaro, but Savaro is supported by Diog. Laert. II, 40.

Contemnens is apposite as a causal participle. Socrates was sentenced to death because he despised the traditional gods. S. Bailey preferred the variant condemnans. However, the gods could not be condemned by somebody who denied their existence.
${ }^{45}$ Op. cit., page 31.
${ }^{46}$ Op. cit., page 32.
S. Bailey ${ }^{47}$ noted that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of the words vasta dulcedine. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. The lyre is said to be noisy (raucam) due to its enormous sweetness (vasta ${ }^{48}$ dulcedine).
22. 215

> lauri spatiabor in istis
> frondibus, hic trepidam credam mihi credere Daphnen.
S. Bailey ${ }^{49}$ explained that the poet is referring to Daphne. Textual alteration is not necessary. We should translate as follows:
"I shall think that (credam) she trusts me (mihi credere ${ }^{50}$ )."
23. 228
tum si forte fuit quod imperator
Eoas soceri venire in aures
fido interprete vellet et perito,
te commercia duplicis loquelae
doctum solvere protinus legebat.
S. Bailey ${ }^{51}$ was puzzled by the meaning of solvere. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows:
"learned to unfetter (solvere ${ }^{52}$ ) the intercourse of two tongues."
Conclusion. I hope that I have made it clear to the reader that textual alteration is often not necessary if we have sufficient knowledge of the poet's Sprachgebrauch.

Heather White

${ }^{47}$ Op. cit., page 32.
${ }^{48}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. vastus II, C, 2 (of sounds: clamor, murmur, latratus, etc.).

The sound of the lyre could be so powerful as to equal the voice of a singer: cf. G. Giangrande, Veleia 23, 2006, page 393 f.
${ }^{49}$ Op. cit., page 33.
${ }^{50}$ Note the use of falsa anaphora: cf. my Studies In The Text Of Propertius (Athens 2002), page 163.
S. Bailey cannot explain credere, which he alters into cedere. The fact that credere means "trusts" was already understood by Anderson, a fact which S. Bailey has overlooked.
${ }^{51}$ Op. cit., page 33.
52 Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. solvo I, B, b: "linguam solvere, to unfetter the tongue, to give flow to words."


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. D. R. Shackleton Bailey, Selected Papers, The University Of Michigan Press 2000, page 22 f. I have used this interesting and informative article as the starting point of my research.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Lewis-Short, s.v. quivis: "unus amet quavis aspergere cunctos, i.e. quavis ratione, Hor. S. 1, 4, 87."
    ${ }^{3}$ Loyen's explanation (in his Budé edition of Sidonius) is correctly rejected by S. Bailey: the laurel does not offer any "protection" to the god.

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Ovid, Amores I, 4, 37, where sinus is distinguished from papillis.

    7 As Loyen notes ad loc., small papillae were thought to be beautiful, cf. Ovid, Rem. Am. 337, and Thes., s.v. papilla 255, 25 f.
    ${ }^{8}$ I owe this observation to Prof. G. Giangrande. Either the sinus is personified (for ipse cf. e.g. Dracontius, Orest. 122) or facit is causative.

[^2]:    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. S. Bailey, op. cit., page 24.
    ${ }^{10}$ Agro is ablativus locativus, cf. e.g. Ovid, Met. 7, 547 agrisque.
    ${ }^{11}$ Op. cit., page 24 f.

