

Candelas Cabanillas University of the Basque Country, Spain

Redubs in Basque Public Television: Western Films as a Case in Point

Abstract

Redubs represent an unparalleled opportunity to analyse the divergences in different translations of one and the same source text. This study investigates the different strategies adopted by audiovisual translators of the same original film within the framework of the Spanish dubbing industry. In order to do this, various issues will be looked at. Firstly, cultural references, which typically pose a challenge to translators. Likewise, the choice between formal versus informal forms of address in Spanish is also fraught with difficulties, as opting for one or the other will have an impact on the way power relations are depicted to the target audience. Additionally, the presence of taboo words and offensive language and how these are transferred into the target language is also examined. The study shows that there is a strong tendency towards levelling out or omitting any instances of substandard language. Finally, the oral features in the original version seem to invariably undergo a standardization process that, again, contributes to reducing the linguistic variation present in the source text. Therefore, the connotative meaning of the text seems to be neglected, to a greater or lesser extent, during the translation process.

1. Introduction¹

This paper sets out to analyse the divergences identified between the first dubbing and the redub into Spanish of a US film broadcast on the second channel of Basque regional public television. Redubs constitute an unparalleled opportunity to study the evolution and changes in translators' behaviour and strategies from a diachronic perspective. We will start by looking at the phenomenon of retranslation and by defining the concept.

¹ University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU. TRALIMA Consolidated Research Group GIU16_48. Project FFI2012–39012-C04–01T and G15/75 MINECO, Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness.

'Retranslation' is the second or subsequent translation of a given text into the same target language (Gambier 1994: 413; Chaume 2007: 50). When applied to dubbed audiovisual texts, the phenomenon is labelled 'redubbing'. With the advent of new technologies, especially with the DVD and the Blueray Disc, it is easy nowadays to find discs containing the original soundtrack and the dubbed version along with subtitles in both (or more) languages. This new scenario in the audiovisual market has given rise to a new wave of retranslations and redubbings of films. And we say *films* because it is almost exclusively this type of audiovisual product that gets retranslated and redubbed (Chaume 2007: 50). According to this author there are several reasons which may eventually lead to the redubbing of a film (Ibid. 56-61). These range from a shift in the translation modality (be it dubbing, subtitling or voice-over) to the linguistic ageing of the translation, or it may be due to purely economic and marketing reason, as after all "films are first and foremost marketable products" (Zanotti 2015: 112). But in any case, a key idea to be kept in mind is that the retranslation process stems from texts that are already introduced in the target culture and have therefore become part of it (Gambier 1994: 414). Therefore, whatever the reasons may be to commission the redubbing of a film, it should not be forgotten that the film is already an element belonging in the target culture, in this case, the Spanish filmic system.

Regional public TV corporations have played a key role in shaping the landscape of the audiovisual industry in Spain. In this study we will be looking at the particular case of Basque regional public television (Euskal Telebista-ETB), and more specifically to its second channel, ETB2, whose broadcasting language is Spanish (the first channel has Basque as broadcasting language). The second channel of this regional television was born in 1986 and right from the beginning it obtained good audience shares and turned into a vital element of reference and communication within Basque society (Díez Urrestarazu 2003: 81). TV series and films account for a large proportion of its programming schedule. As is the case with all television corporations in Spain, the vast majority of TV series and films are imported from the US. One of the longest-lasting programmes on ETB2 is the cycle devoted to western films, which has been running ever since the year

2000. Thus, within the framework of this cycle a *western* film is broadcast on ETB2 every weekday. Needless to say, *western* is regarded as one of the great American genres (Casas 2007; Cohen 2006). It is an interesting exercise to look at "how international messages such as films are appropriated by a specific target system" (Goris 1993: 172) and what the differences are between two versions produced within the same target culture at different points in time.

Virtually all regional TV corporations in Spain are integrated in FORTA, a federation whose aim is to defend the common interests of its members and to attain greater cost-effectiveness by jointly acquiring audiovisual products or broadcasting rights. Around the year 2000 some corporate members of FORTA decided to schedule western films in their programming grids, as the genre seemed to work out quite well in terms of audience shares, and so the federation purchased whole packs of these films. The films, however, were in most cases not accompanied by the dubbing and therefore new translations had to be commissioned. On the face of it, it may look simpler and more affordable to attempt to find an existing translation or dubbed version. This task, however, may well be bound to turn into a sterile undertaking. An issue at stake in the present work is precisely the reasons why audiovisual products are redubbed, and they are indeed manifold. Chaume tackles this topic and offers an explanation to account for the phenomenon of retranslating and redubbing within the realm of the audiovisual industry in Spain and the reasons fostering it. He claims that "distributors and TV stations cannot easily get hold of older translations, either because of copyright issues or availability" (Chaume 2012: 130), so in commercial terms it is thus more cost-effective for broadcasting entities to commission a brand-new dubbing straightaway rather than "embark on what might be a fruitless search for the original translation" (Ibid.).

Research has shown that it is an extremely hard task and it is actually often not possible at all to find the first dubbed version of *western* films once a redubbing enters the market (Cabanillas 2016: 199). First translations and dubbings seem to be highly volatile products – even perishable, it may be argued – that are over time systematically replaced by newer versions in Spain. As a result, the older version or first dubbing ends up virtually

disappearing or surviving occasionally in remote or isolated places. As regards the Spanish audiovisual industry, films typically either circulate without their dubbing, in which case a new translation process is started, or they are accompanied by the newest version (or redub), while the first dubbing tends to disappear from the market or else to survive only in marginal locations.

Some of the *westerns* broadcast on ETB2 were translated and dubbed by Basque dubbing studios around the year 2000, although a few of them had had earlier dubbings. In the following section we will look at some relevant disparities found between the first dubbing and the redub of one of these *western* films. Analysing redubs in comparison with their corresponding first dubbings seems to constitute a rather innovative exercise in the field of AVT, likely to yield interesting results, as to date it still remains an under-researched issue in the area (Chaume 2012: 148; Zanotti 2015: 110). The findings will be expected to provide new insights into the translation process itself and to shed some light on the evolution of translational norms (Pavesi 2008: 82). The limitations set by the audiovisual mode are another factor to be taken into consideration.

2. Analysing first translation vs redub: Posse

It must be pointed out that it is *dubbese*, the particular language typical of dubbing, we are dealing with. "Dubbese is a culture-specific linguistic and stylistic model for dubbed texts" (Chaume 2012: 87) and although it attempts to recreate spoken language, dubbese also includes certain features belonging to the written mode.

In this study we will be analysing the film *Posse* (Kirk Douglas 1975). It tells the story of an ambitious marshal who leads an elite posse in pursuit of a criminal of considerable notoriety. The marshal is initially portrayed as the hero of the story, but his selfish and egotistical personality is soon revealed as all his plans are designed to further his political career and to suit his own private interests. The first dubbing of the film into Spanish dates back to 1976 and it was done at a voice studio in Madrid. It was later on redubbed in

2000, this time at a voice studio in Bilbao that used to work for ETB2 on a regular basis (Cabanillas 2016: 189-200). The film was broadcast in its redubbed version. As for the first dubbing, the one and only available copy we could find after many failed attempts was the tape labelled VHS-7031 at the Spanish National Library. There is an interval of 24 years between both dubbings of the film, a period over which the linguistic habits of viewers are certain to have evolved. In the tables below the data of the original film or source text (ST) and of both target texts (TTs) are displayed:

Table 1. Source Text (ST) technical data

Posse (ST)		
original title	Posse	
director	Kirk Douglas	
scriptwriters	Christopher Knopf, William Roberts	
cast	Kirk Douglas (Howard Nightingale)	
	Bruce Dern (Jack Strawhorn)	
	Bo Hopkins (John Wesley)	
	James Stacey (Harold Hellman)	
	Luke Askew (Krag)	
	David Canary (Pensteman)	
	Alfonso Arau (Pepe)	
	Katherine Woodville (Mrs Cooper)	
	Mark Roberts (Mr Cooper)	
	Beth Brickell (Carla Ross)	
	Dick O'Neill (Wiley)	
	William H. Burton Jr (McCanless)	
	Louie Elias (Rains)	
	Gus Greymountain (Reyno)	
	Roger Behrstock (Buwalda)	
release year	1975	
country	USA	
runtime	92 min	
language	English	
filming locations	Arizona (USA)	
production co.	Bryna Productions	
distributor	Paramount	

Table 2. First Dubbing (FD) technical data

Los justicieros del oeste (FD)		
title	Los justicieros del oeste	
translator	unknown	
adapter	unknown	
dubbing director	unknown	
dubbing artists	Ángel María Baltanás (Howard Nightingale)	
	José Guardiola (Jack Strawhorn)	
	Antonio Martín (John Wesley)	
	Francisco Arenzana (Harold Hellman)	
	José Moratalla (<i>Krag</i>)	
	Antonio Fernández (Pensteman)	
	José Moratalla (<i>Pepe</i>)	
	María Teresa Campos (Catherine Cooper)	
	Antonio Fernández (Cooper)	
	Mari Ángeles Herranz (Carla Ross)	
	José Luis Baltanás (Wiley)	
	Unknown (McCanless)	
	Unknown (Rains)	
	Ángel Ter (Reyno)	
	Leandro López de la Morena (Sheriff Buwalda)	
dubbing studio	TECNISON S.A. (Madrid)	
dubbing year	1976	
distributor for Spain	CIC (Cinema International Corporation)	

Table 3. Redub (RD) technical data

Los justicieros del oeste (RD)		
title	Los justicieros del oeste	
translator	unknown	
adapter	unknown	
dubbing director	unknown	
dubbing artists	José Luis Irigoyen (Howard Nightingale)	
	Kepa Cueto (Jack Strawhorn)	
	Txema Moscoso (John Wesley)	
	unknown (Harold Hellman)	
	Manu Heras (Krag)	
	Unknown (Pensteman)	

	Álvaro María Sánchez (<i>Pepe</i>)	
	Maribel Legarreta (Catherine Cooper)	
	Unknown (Cooper)	
	Alazne Erdozia (<i>Carla Ross</i>)	
	unknown (<i>Wiley</i>)	
	unknown (McCanless)	
	unknown (Rains)	
	unknown (Reyno)	
	unknown (Sheriff Buwalda)	
dubbing studio	K2000 (Bilbao)	
dubbing year	2000	
distributor for Spain	ETB2	

As shown on the tables above, the name of the translator is unknown in both cases. Rather than being an exception this is the rule as regards acknowledgement of the translator's work in the Spanish audiovisual industry², a factor which no doubt militates against the consolidation and recognition of the profession and which frequently accounts for the poor quality standard of some translations.

In this study, we will analyse the translation strategies adopted by different translators working with the same ST. The divergences observed between first dubbing and redub of the same film will hopefully shed light on the translation process on a number of points. To do so, we shall focus on several elements that are usually problematic for translators in general and for audiovisual translators in particular. Firstly, we will look at some examples containing cultural references: are they adapted for transfer into a new language or are they maintained in their original form? Secondly, the use of forms of address shall be analysed. These elements help shape the relationships between characters and are therefore an important aspect to be borne in mind by the translator. How they are rendered into the TTs will have an impact on how such relationships are portrayed and perceived by viewers. Thirdly, we shall look at taboo words and offensive expressions. Strong language is usually a thorny question to be dealt with in translation:

² As can be seen by browsing *eldoblaje.com*, the most important website on dubbing in Spain.

should it be toned down or rather should it be kept in the TT? The issue of target audience sensitivity will surely have a bearing on the choices made by translators in this regard. Finally, we shall consider the presence of oral features in the ST and to what extent they have been transferred to the dubbed versions. All of these are questions that will hopefully shed some light on the translation approach underlying each version and on the complex decision-making process going on in the translator's mind.

2.1 Cultural references

Cultural references offer a fascinating case of study. These references are culture and language-bound and their rendering into another language typically represents an extremely challenging issue for translators (Santamaria 2001: 159-164), provided the network of data and shared knowledge that make up their fabric. In this section we will analyse how translators have dealt with this question and what the final result has been for each dubbed version. In the following scene the criminal is talking to one of his gang and tells him that he had expected him to be cleverer than he has actually proved.

Example 1 - TCR: 17:47		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: Pretend	Strawhorn: Me vas a hacer	Strawhorn: Pensaba que eras
you got a few more	creer que tienes menos	más inteligente.
brains than a rabbit.	sesos que un mosquito.	[Strawhorn: I thought you
	[Strawhorn: You will make	were more intelligent.]
	me believe you have fewer	
	brains than a mosquito.]	

The phrase about the rabbit in the ST would not make much sense for a Spanish audience, so the translator of the first version has decided to substitute it for the idiomatic expression 'menos sesos que un mosquito', which is perfectly standard and understandable to the target audience. The syntactic structure has also shifted from a positive comparative structure ('more than') to a negative one ('menos que' [less than]). The redub, on the

other hand, has resorted to a generic expression which conveys the same message but avoids the use of any idiomatic expressions related to the level of intelligence.

Example 2 - TCR: 20:42		
ST	FD	RD
Pepe: Who did you	Pepe: ¿Qué esperabas? ¿A	Pepe: ¿A quién esperabas? ¿A
expect? Joaquín	Joaquín Murrieta?	Joaquín Murrieta?
Murrieta?	[Pepe: What did you expect?	[Pepe: Whom did you expect?
	Joaquín Murrieta?]	Joaquín Murrieta?]

Joaquín Murrieta was a Mexican rebel who became famous in California during the Gold Rush at the end of the 19th century. He led several revolts against the mining companies for their abuses against Mexican workers. He is also considered to have served partly as inspiration for the fictional character of El Zorro. Consequently, his figure is well known to the American audience. The same does not apply for the Spanish audience, though, who is not familiar with this character. The target audience is therefore left at a loss at this reference. Surprisingly, it has been transferred unchanged by both translators. Instead of adapting the cultural reference so that the information may get across to the Spanish audience, the unfamiliar reference is kept. Lack of adequate training and the poor working conditions of audiovisual translators might possibly explain this case.

2.2 Forms of address

Forms of address constitute a problematic issue within the translation process. This is even more so when one of the languages involved has different formulae for formal and informal forms of address, as is the case with Spanish. The use of one or another form of address relates to one of the layers of register, namely, the tenor of discourse, which indicates the relationship between the people (or characters, for that matter) involved in a given dialogue exchange. In Spanish, when people engaging in conversation

know each other well, have had a close or long-term relationship or, simply, are young, they tend to use the informal second person (til), whereas two interlocutors holding a hierarchical, distant or superficial relationship would resort to the formal version (usted). This dichotomy puts forward an obvious challenge to the translator working from English into Spanish, who must decide on which form to use according to the status of the characters involved, the relationship they hold and the situational context. Since forms of address are indicative of the relationship between characters in a film, choosing the right one is of paramount importance if the dubbed version is to be successful in fulfilling its function. The way the translator approaches the translation process will ultimately have a bearing on the final result. The use of forms of address is one aspect where this can be clearly seen. Some scholars have already acknowledged the pitfalls of this matter for translation:

A particularly thorny issue for translators generally, is the translation of formal versus informal second person forms of address, such as *vous* versus *tu* in French, *Sie* versus *du* in German, *usted* versus *tú* in Spanish, *u* versus *je/ge* in Dutch, etc. Some of the factors that make interlocutors opt for one rather than the other alternative are age, sex, group membership, and position of authority (Anderman 1993), but the use of the formal versus the informal personal pronoun can also have emotional connotations (Díaz Cintas and Remael 2007: 189).

In order to opt for the right form of address in each context the translator needs to consider a number of elements, such as the image on the screen, the plot of the story and the linguistic clues in the text. For all these reasons it constitutes an interesting exercise to look into. As is well known, film dialogue attempts to imitate natural linguistic behaviour, at least to some extent (Baños 2009; Bucaria 2008; Pavesi 2008). Therefore, realism will be an important factor in relation to the choices made by the translator. In this section we will examine some examples which signal to differing translating approaches in both texts, first dubbing (FD) and redub (RD), for the same speech segments.

Example 3 - TCR: 12:27		
ST	FD	RD
Sheriff: Strawhorn, you're under arrest.	Sheriff: Strawhorn, queda usted arrestado (formal second person) [Sheriff: Strawhorn, you're under arrest.]	Sheriff: Strawhorn, quedas detenido (<i>informal second person</i>) [Sheriff: Strawhorn, you're under arrest.]

Whereas the translator of the first version opted for the formal pronoun of address (usted) and its corresponding verbal form, in the redubbing the informal pronoun (tû) is used. The relationship between the two characters, therefore, is portrayed differently in each version. The different strategies are in line with linguistic habits at different times, provided the use of the formal form of address was much more frequent in the 1970s than it was in the year 2000, as has been the case with other European languages (Bucaria 2008: 154; Pavesi 2008: 80-81).

Example 4 - TCR: 12:48		
ST	FD	RD
Sheriff: I ain't leaving	Sheriff: No voy a irme del	Sheriff: Yo no me iré de
town. Neither you.	pueblo, y usted tampoco	aquí, y tú tampoco (<i>informal</i>
	(formal second person)	second person)
	[Sheriff: I'm not leaving	[Sheriff: I'm not leaving
	town, and neither are you.]	town, and neither are you.]

These two dubbings show there is a shift in the way the sheriff addresses the criminal in both texts: the formal pronoun of address of the earlier version turns into the familiar form of address in the latter dubbing. As with the previous example, the relationship portrayed turns out to be somewhat different. It should be pointed out that linguistic uses are in constant evolution and the formal form of address *usted* in Spanish has been increasingly taken over by *tú* over the last few decades (Chaume 2012: 144). This shift in the use of forms of address will account for the divergence, at least to a certain extent.

Example 5 - TCR: 45:25		
ST	FD	RD
Nightingale: I like	Nightingale: Me cae simpático.	Nightingale: Me gustas .
you. You threw me	Me ha apartado de mi	Me has dado mucho
off my timetable, but	itinerario pero le perdono	trabajo pero te perdono
I forgive you.	(formal second person).	(informal second person).
	[Nightingale: I find you likeable.	[Nightingale: I like you.
	You threw me off my way, but	You've made me work
	I forgive you.]	hard, but I forgive you.]

The same phenomenon is observed in the dialogue exchanges between the marshal Nightingale and the criminal Strawhorn, when the former addresses the latter. While in the earlier dubbing the translator opts for the formal pronoun, in the redub the familiar form of address is chosen.

Example 6 - TCR: 01:20:19		
ST	FD	RD
Nightingale: When I	Nightingale: Cuando le	Nightingale: Cuando te lleve a
get you to Austin,	lleve a Austin será mejor	Austin será mejor que alegues
you better plead	que alegue locura. Es su	demencia. Sólo eso te salvará de
insanity. It's your	única oportunidad (formal	la horca (informal second person).
only chance.	second person).	[Nightingale: When I get you to
	[Nightingale: When I get	Austin, you'd better plead
	you to Austin, you'd better	insanity. Only that will save you
	plead insanity. It's your	from being hanged.]
	only chance.]	

As shown in the cases above, redubbed versions seem to show a "predilection for greater linguistic realism" (Zanotti 2015: 123). This would account for the translator's choice in the redub. Curiously enough, this change does not apply in the opposite direction. Thus, in both the first dubbed version and the redubbing the criminal addresses the sheriff using the formal pronominal term (*usted*) in Spanish, as shown in the examples below.

Example 7 - TCR: 47:56		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: You	Strawhorn: ¿Sabe lo que	Strawhorn: ¿Sabe cuál fue mi
know what I regret	más lamento? Haber	mayor error? Haber matado a
most? Was killing	tenido que matar a ese	ese sheriff en mitad de la calle,
that sheriff out there	sheriff, porque usted	porque debería haberle matado a
in the street.	debió ocupar su puesto	usted (formal second person).
Because, mister, that	(formal second person).	[Strawhorn: Do you know what
should have been	[Strawhorn: Do you know	my greatest mistake was? Having
you.	what I regret most?	killed that sheriff in the middle
	Having had to kill that	of the street, because I should
	sheriff, because you should	have killed you.]
	have been in his place.]	

Example 8 - TCR: 01:22:23		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: Honest	Strawhorn: Los hombres son	Strawhorn: Un hombre solo es
men stay honest	honestos mientras les	honrado si le merece la pena
only as long as it	interesa. Por eso yo soy un	serlo. Por eso yo soy un
pays. That's why I'm	ladrón y usted un mentiroso	ladrón y usted un mentiroso
a thief and you're a	(formal second person).	(formal second person).
liar.	[Strawhorn: Honest men stay	[Strawhorn: A man is honest
	honest as long as it is in their	only if it is worth it. That's
	interest. That's why I'm a	why I'm a thief and you're a
	thief and you're a liar.]	liar.]

In examples 7 and 8 the translator opts for the formal pronoun of address. The issue at stake here is one of 'position of authority' (Díaz Cintas and Remael 2007), in which the addressee's status and social position would naturally require the formal use. Forms of address are no doubt a thorny question and opting for the right one is of crucial importance if a translation is to be successful in conveying the original message as, indeed, "power relations can be changed in the translation if the wrong form of address is chosen" (Chaume 2012: 144).

While in most cases the forms of address between characters used in the translation into Spanish are the same in both first dubbing and redub it is interesting to note that, whenever there is a shift, it is invariably towards the

informal use. Since "dubbese evolves by discarding particular features of real oral discourse and incorporating other features from the real spoken language of the target culture" (Chaume 2012: 89) the previous examples are to be considered as instances of the evolution of dubbing in Spanish. Interestingly, there are no instances of shifts in the reverse direction. This again signals to the fact that film dialogue is actually a "kind of imitation of people talking" (Kozloff 2000: 29) and the changes that take place over time are eventually mirrored in redubs.

These changes affect the representation of either characters or the relationships among them and ultimately may alter, to a greater or lesser extent, the message contained in the original version of the film. The attempt to produce a dialogue that is as close as possible to oral real spontaneous speech seems to be behind the divergences analysed above. We agree that in any case "the translation is ultimately determined by what is deemed acceptable in the target culture" (Díaz Cintas and Remael 2007: 198) and the use of forms of address will be inextricably linked to it.

2.3 Taboo and offensive language

Language variation is one of the greatest challenges translators face. In this section we will look at the use of offensive language in the film analysed. Chaume (2012: 91) considers the use of swearwords and offensive terms in films as one of the specific features of dubbese. The following examples show instances of rude and obscene language.

Example 9 - TCR: 20:33		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: You	Strawhorn: ¡ Imbécil ! ¿Qué	Strawhorn: Maldito
ignorant son of a	diablos estás tratando de	mexicano hijo de puta.
bitch, what are you	hacerme, eh? Te pedí	¿Por quién coño me has
trying to do to me, ah?	hombres y me has traído	tomado, eh? Te dije que
I asked you for men	cucarachas.	necesitaba hombres, no
and you deliver me	[Strawhorn: Idiot! What the	cucarachas.
cucarachas.	hell are you trying to do to	

me, ah? I asked you for	[Strawhorn: Damned
men and you bring me	Mexican son a bitch! Who
cockroaches.]	the hell do you take me for,
	ah? I told you I needed men,
	not cockroaches.]

The strong language contained in the ST is significantly toned down in the first dubbed version, where the rude phrase 'son of a bitch' is rendered by means of the more standard and acceptable term 'imbécil' (idiot). On the other hand, the redubbing in this example not only conveys the offensive load of the original version but it also emphasizes it by inserting an expletive ('coño' [cunt]) not present in the ST. Additionally, the explicit reference to the addressee's nationality ('mexicano'), with no counterpart in the original text, seems to increase the offensive nature of the utterance. Curiously enough, the reverse applies in the following lines containing the same slang expression:

Example 10 - TCR: 20:39		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: How do you	Strawhorn: ¿Qué tal te	Strawhorn: ¿Qué dices
like it now, you son of a	encuentras ahora, hijo de	ahora, sucio bastardo?
bitch?	perra?	[Strawhorn: What do you
	[Strawhorn: How do you	say now, you dirty
	feel now, you son of a	bastard?]
	bitch?]	

In example 10 the opposite is true. Whereas the first dubbing presents a marked offensive load, the redub has toned down the expletive present in the ST and has resorted to a lighter rewording in Spanish, opting for the rather neutral 'bastardo' (Lechado García 2000: 44).

Example 11 - TCR: 20:39		
ST	FD	RD
Pepe: Two weeks, you	Pepe: En dos semanas los	Pepe: En dos semanas los
get them. But you gave	hubieras tenido pero tú	habría encontrado, pero solo

me two days, gringo	me distes dos días, solo	me diste dos días, gringo
cabrón.	dos días. (Ø)	cabrón.
	[Pepe: In two weeks you	[Pepe: In two weeks I would
	would have had them, but	have found them, but you
	you gave me two days,	gave me only two days, gringo
	only two days]	asshole]

In this example the Spanish taboo term in the original text ('cabrón' [asshole]) is not to be found in the first dubbing. There is no attempt to compensate for such a loss in meaning and the swearword is completely deleted in this version, the result being a perfectly neutral rendition of a segment containing offensive language in the ST. Fernández points at lip synchrony as one of the major constraints as regards the translation of swearwords "given the differences between English and Spanish" (Fernández 2009: 213). Provided the expression in the ST is actually uttered in Spanish the justification would not apply in this case. The reasons for not conveying the offensive language must therefore be sought elsewhere. The redubbing, on the other hand, recovers the very same expression thus transmitting the marked speech originally intended. In this example the redub would once again prove to be more source-oriented than the first dubbing, which appears to tend to level out instances of loaded language.

Example 12 - TCR: 29:54		
ST	FD	RD
Photographer:	Photographer: Venga,	Photographer: McCanless, saca
McCanless, get your ass	McCanless, fuera. (Ø)	tu maldito culo de ahí,
out of there.	[Photographer: Come	¿quieres?
	on, McCanless, get out.]	[Photographer: McCanless, get
		your damned ass out of there,
		will you?]

The expression 'get your ass out of (t)here' is a paradigmatic example of the numerous swearwords and taboo terms that are "repeatedly translated literally in many languages" (Chaume 2012: 93). According to the author these expressions have been consistently translated over time as a calque of

the original word or phrase until they themselves become a feature of dubbese in the TL. In example 12 the first dubbed version once again omits any reference to strong language, and so part of the message gets lost along the way. The redub, however, does include the same rude expression used in the ST and even amplifies its effect by adding a term ('maldito' [damned]) which increases the offensive tone of the utterance.

Example 13 - TCR: 01:02:06			
ST	ST FD RD		
Soldier: Kiss my ass!	Soldier: ¡Maldito sea!	Soldier: ¡ Bésame el culo !	
	[Soldier: Damn it!]	[Soldier: Kiss my ass!]	

This example shows the same trend identified in the previous ones: strong language has been remarkably toned down in the first rendering of the textual segment into Spanish while the redubbed version keeps the slang tone. In fact, the wording chosen in the first version would rate as a rather standard reformulation in its context, as typically "in the past, US films dubbed into Spanish were full of such classic expressions as *maldita sed*" (Fernández 2009: 212).

Example 14 - TCR: 40:27		
ST	FD	RD
Telegrapher: All	Telegrapher: Todos los	Telegrapher: Todos los
politicians are full	políticos sueltan la misma	políticos son unos
of shit .	cantinela.	mentirosos.
	[Telegrapher: All politicians	[Telegrapher: All politicians
	tell the same old story.]	are liars.]

Although in example 14 the rendering of the taboo term has been significantly softened in the redub ('shit'>'mentirosos' [liars]) it is still closer to the original meaning in English than the first dubbing, in which the loaded language has been replaced by a perfectly unmarked and standard rephrasing ('cantinela' [old story]). The meaning of the first version is therefore rather distorted when compared to the ST. One of the functions fulfilled through the use of swearwords and offensive phrases is to set the tone of a film and

to help shape the characters' personality. As shown in the analysis, it may be concluded that "swearwords and taboo words are often toned down in dubbing" (Chaume 2012: 144). As can be seen from the examples analysed so far, as a result of the consistent omission or toning down of rude expressions in the first dubbed version, the message of the film as a whole appears to be rather distorted and the general tone to be rather different. The redub, on the other hand, presents a more accurate rendition of the message contained in the English version.

Example 15 - TCR: 56:22		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn:	Strawhorn: ¡Vaya, hombre!	Strawhorn: ¡ Maldita sea ! Se
Goddamn it! I got	Me ha caído un poco de	me ha metido un pedazo de
a piece of	estiércol en el ojo.	mierda en el ojo.
horseshit down in	[Strawhorn: Bother! I got	[Strawhorn: Goddamn it! I got
the corner of my	some manure in my eye]	a piece of shit in my eye]
eye.		

Example 15 contains two occurrences of taboo language. In the first dubbed version they are both levelled out so that no traits of obscene language are to be found. The slang word 'horseshit' is translated by the much more aseptic term 'estiércol' (manure), which lacks any offensive connotation. The translator of the redubbing, on the other hand, presents a more source-oriented approach and transfers both expressions to the TT, even keeping the explicit reference. Since character identity is partly conveyed through lexical choices the omissions will have a bearing on the resulting text and on its effect on the target audience.

Example 16 - TCR: 46:10		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: Can't break	Strawhorn: Señor, no se puede	Strawhorn: ¡Mierda!
wind on an empty	ir contra marea con el	Ahora no puedo
stomach. Shit.	estómago vacío. (Ø)	encender la cerilla.
	[Strawhorn: Lord, you can't	[Strawhorn: Shit! Now I
	row against the tide with an	can't light the match.]
	empty stomach.]	

The same approach is observed in example 16 in dealing with obscene language. It was deemed unacceptable by the translator of the version dubbed in the 1970s, so any reference to 'shit' is omitted in the text. Curiously enough, the vulgar term is replaced by a religious reference ('Señor' [Lord]), thus changing the whole tone of the textual segment. The slang term, however, was restored in the redub and even emphasized by placing it at the front of the utterance, thus endowing the expletive with increased strength.

Example 17 - TCR: 01:15:03		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: Little	Strawhorn: Así está mejor. (Ø)	Strawhorn: Maldito
trickster.	[Strawhorn: That's better.]	tramposo.
		[Strawhorn: Damned
		trickster.]

The example above is a clear instance of the diverging trends identified in both versions. The first dubbing avoids any reference whatsoever to vulgar language or slang expressions, thus levelling out the linguistic variety of the original version. The translator of the redub, however, keeps the marked language and even increases the loaded nature of the utterance by adding the expletive 'maldito' (damned), which has no ST counterpart.

As shown by the examples above, as far as offensive and taboo language is concerned, redubs indeed seem to "mark a return to the source text" (Zanotti 2015: 119) in that they attempt to retain the loaded non-standard language of the ST to a larger extent than first dubbings do. Undoubtedly, changes in the target audience's linguistic habits account for the different approaches as regards the translation of taboo expressions. Similarly, prevailing cultural norms are also likely to have a bearing on translators' choices. Since "the main function of audiovisual translation is to produce a similar effect on the target culture audience as the source text produced on the source culture audience" (Chaume 2004: 844) it must be concluded that this goal has not been achieved in the earlier dubbing as regards the non-standard language represented by slang and rude expressions.

2.4 Oral speech features

In this section we shall analyse how the elements of oral spoken language have been conveyed in both texts. A main feature of films is the use of a particular oral style so as to make dialogue exchanges sound credible and realistic. In fact, films are in themselves "an example of prefabricated discourse" (Chaume 2004: 850). The concept of prefabricated orality accounts for the linguistic (and prosodic) features employed by scriptwriters in the film industry in an effort to mirror real spoken speech. One of the main goals of films is to convey the impression of realism and using spontaneous speech features (Pavesi 2008; Payrató 1996) is undoubtedly an essential tool to achieve it.

Assimilations are one of the most salient features of oral language (Briz 1998: 95). In the following example some of these traits of spontaneous conversation can be found in the ST:

Example 18 - TCR: 33:45		
ST	FD	RD
Krag: You gotta get	Krag: ¿Tiene usted permiso del	Krag: Tendrá que pedirle
permission from the	marshal? (Ø)	permiso al marshal. (Ø)
marshal.	[Krag: Do you have permission	[Krag: You will need to
	from the marshal?]	get permission from the
		marshal.]

The source text contains an orality marker which is not present in either of the target versions – the assimilation of the verbal form 'got to' into 'gotta', which is highly characteristic of oral discourse and endows the ST with a strong oral flavour. The dubbed versions, on the other hand, lack any signs of spoken language thus resulting in an unmarked speech. A new case of assimilation is contained in the following lines:

Example 19 - TCR: 55:52		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: You wanna	Strawhorn: Deme esa	Strawhorn: Oye, ¿podrías
hand me thathand me	escoba, por favor, un	dejarme esa escoba de ahí
that broom over there for	momento. (Ø)	para limpiar todo esto?
a minute?	[Strawhorn: Give me that	(Ø)
	broom, please, just a	[Strawhorn: Listen, could
	moment.]	you hand me that broom
		over there to clean all this
		up?]

The assimilation of the unmarked verbal form 'want to' into 'wanna' is not transferred in any of the translations. Provided that assimilations are highly characteristic of spontaneous spoken discourse (Baños 2009: 280), both translations have missed something in this regard. Besides, there is no attempt at compensation and, as a result, the Spanish texts adhere to standard language to a greater extent than the ST does. Likewise, another orality marker in these lines is the repetition of the segment 'hand me that'. According to Gaviño (2008: 99), lexical repetition is a cohesive device typical of oral discourse. Since it is completely absent in both TTs, the oral nature of the utterances in the Spanish versions is considerably diminished. While the first dubbing is a completely standard text which even includes the added politeness marker 'por favor' (please), the redub tries to endow the text with some oral texture by adding the discourse marker 'oye' (listen). The same applies in the following case:

Example 20 - TCR: 29:32		
ST	FD	RD
Nightingale: Please,	Nightingale: Sé cómo se	Nightingale: Sé cómo se
please, I know how you	sienten todos pero tengo	sienten, pero yo soy un
feel but I have a job to	un trabajo que hacer, así	agente de la ley y debemos
do. Let me finish it.	que déjenme terminarlo.	cumplirla, gracias. (Ø)
	(Ø)	[Nightingale: I know how
	[Nightingale: I know how	you feel, but I am a law
	you all feel but I have a job	enforcement officer and we
	to do, so let me finish it.]	must abide by it, thank you.]

The repetition of 'please' is deleted in both first dubbing and redub. Again, the function fulfilled through the repetition of a given lexical item is not conveyed to the translated texts. Although the colloquial tone is missing in both, there is nonetheless some attempt at compensation in the redubbed text by means of inserting the politeness expression 'gracias' (thank you) at the end of the utterance.

Example 21 - TCR: 01:13:54		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: Glad to	Strawhorn: Me alegra ver	Strawhorn: Me alegra ver
see you ain't off your	que no ha perdido el apetito.	que no ha perdido el apetito.
feed.	(Ø)	(Ø)
	[Strawhorn: I am glad to see	[Strawhorn: I am glad to see
	that you have not lost your	that you have not lost your
	appetite.]	appetite.]

Register has undergone a shift in the translation process, as proven by the use of the formal term 'apetito' (appetite) in Spanish as opposed to the more colloquial and frequent 'hambre' (hunger). The use of high-style lexicon to replace a substandard variety in the ST contributes to the trend towards standardization characteristic of translations (Goris 1993). Additionally, in this example the ST is rephrased using exactly the same wording in both dubbings. Subject deletion is grammatically and syntactically incorrect in English and, by opting for it, the scriptwriter is opting for a marked language use aimed at portraying real spoken discourse. At the same time the original version includes the verbal form 'ain't', which is also typical of oral conversation. In stark contrast, the marks of orality have been completely levelled out in the translation process. The same strategy applies in the segment below:

Example 22 - TCR: 43:45		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: The boss ain't	Strawhorn: A su jefe no le	Strawhorn: A él no le
gonna like that.	gustaría eso. (Ø)	gustaría eso. (Ø)

[Strawhorn: Your boss	[Strawhorn: He wouldn't
wouldn't like that.]	like that.]

As shown in this example, the use of marked speech in the original version is not matched in the dubbed versions. Both dubbings follow the same trend and do not include any feature indicative of colloquial register. This levelling out of the idiolect of one of the characters eventually produces "a relative weakening of the oppositions between the (groups of) persons they are related to/represent" (Goris 1993: 175). It may therefore be concluded that a tendency towards standardization underlies this approach.

Example 23 - TCR: 51:18		
ST	FD	RD
Carla Ross: Well, if you	Carla Ross: Si no puede	Carla Ross: Bueno, si no
can't afford what you	darse el lujo de aceptar lo	puede usted permitirse
really want, you have my	que desea, admita mi	aquello que desea cuente
sympathy.	pésame. (Ø)	con mi simpatía.
	[Carla Ross: If you can't	[Carla Ross: Well, if you
	afford to accept what you	can't afford what you want,
	want, accept my	you have my sympathy.]
	condolences.]	

The interaction of characters with context in a film is expressed through the use of conversational markers. As seen in the example above, the discourse marker 'well' has been mirrored in the redub by means of the equivalent expression 'bueno', and so the oral flavour of the segment is kept. The first dubbed version, however, has deleted any traits of orality thus becoming an unmarked rendering of the spoken language portrayed in the ST. However, strategies are not always consistently observed by translators all throughout the text and it is possible to find the opposite case, as shown in the example below:

Example 24 -TCR: 19:48		
ST	FD	RD
Strawhorn: Well,	Strawhorn: Bien , eso quiero	Strawhorn: Lo
we'll see about	verlo cuando llegue el pelotón.	comprobaremos en cuanto
that when the	[Strawhorn: Well, I want to see	lleguen los hombres que me
posse rides in.	that when the posse arrives.]	persiguen. (Ø)
		[Strawhorn: We'll check that as
		soon as the men chasing me
		arrive.]

Curiously enough, in example 24 it is the first dubbed version which keeps the discourse marker ('bien'), whereas the redub has completely omitted it, thus seemingly failing to stick to the general approach underpinning each translation. It seems to betray the more source-oriented approach of redubs in comparison with first versions. This example may lead to the conclusion that, although on a number of aspects it is possible to identify general trends, "redubbing includes a variety of practices and situations so that generalizations cannot be made" (Zanotti 2015: 131). Example 24 constitutes good proof of it.

Example 25 -TCR: 50:21		
ST	FD	RD
Nightingale: You know,	Nightingale: No se irán	Nightingale: No se habrían
they won't leave until you	hasta que usted haya	marchado si no hubiera bajado
come down.	bajado. (Ø)	usted a buscarme. (Ø)
	[Nightingale: They	[Nightingale: They wouldn't
	won't leave until you	have left hadn't you come
	come down.]	down to look for me.]

In this example, the segment starts with the discourse marker 'you know'. This marker expresses shared knowledge between two interlocutors and it "has a clearly interactional function expressing confidentiality between the speakers" (Chaume 2004: 850). By deleting this marker, the relationship between the characters turns out to be somewhat distorted in both dubbings. Discourse markers are linguistic devices employed to provide cohesion to texts. From the examples analysed above it may be concluded that although

"losing discourse markers in the process of translating does not seriously affect the target text in terms of semantic meaning [...] it does in terms of interpersonal meaning" (Chaume 2004: 854). The omission of markers will ultimately have a bearing on the representation of relationships between characters, on the general tone of dialogue exchanges and on the message of the film as a whole. Therefore, both the first dubbed text and the redub turn out to be less cohesive texts than the original version in this regard.

3. Conclusions

The divergences observed between first dubbing and redub of the same material seem to point to the existence of a definite approach to the translation process on the part of the translator. Provided lexical choices serve a particular purpose their rendition into a second language should be faithful to that purpose if the same effect is sought:

Linguistic choices are never random in film. The way characters speak tells us something about their personality and background through idiosyncrasies and through the socio-cultural and geographic markers in their speech, which affect grammar, syntax, lexicon, pronunciation, and intonation. Since linguistic variants are rooted in the communities that produce them, they are often used as a kind of typology in film, carrying a connotative meaning over and above their denotative functions (Díaz Cintas and Remael 2007: 185).

As the examples examined in this study suggest, there seems to be a tendency to neglect the connotative meaning, an element which is nevertheless essential to achieve an accurate translation if the same effect as the original text is intended. Issues like lip-synchrony and isochrony pose specific challenges to the audiovisual translator and to dubbing professionals in general, who must always proceed taking into account the image on the screen. These constraints, specific to this translation modality, will explain some of the choices. However, there are additional elements which also appear to influence the audiovisual translation process, such as the norms prevailing in the target culture, "which change through time and are affected

by the socio-cultural context in which translation takes place" (Zanotti 2015: 130). The analysis has proved that the techniques applied by different translators at different points in time are indeed diverse and the effects on the target audiences are correspondingly disparate.

Culture-specific references represent one of the major challenges in translation (Chaume 2012: 145; Jiménez 2009: 139; Santamaria 2001: 159-164). As shown in the analysis, translators either leave them unchanged, a strategy which entails some loss of information, or adapt it to the target culture so as to fulfil the same function. The short deadlines translators must meet, and their working conditions, possibly account for the adoption of the first strategy. Another issue at stake when translating from English into Spanish is the choice of the right form of address. The examples analysed prove that whenever there is a shift it favours the use of the familiar form of address to the detriment of the formal treatment. This is evidence of the effort to bring the new text in line with target culture conventions at the time.

It has also been shown that audience sensitivity is a variable that will determine some of the translator's decisions, provided "[t]he choice of particular linguistic features aimed at mirroring spoken discourse will ultimately depend on what is considered acceptable in the system to which the audiovisual text belongs" (Chaume 2012: 82). The translation of strong language is a case in point. The examples examined show that the general trend appears to be to tone down or delete swearwords and offensive expressions in the earlier dubbing. In this regard, there is a clear difference in the approach underlying both translations, as the redub attempts to keep the offensive language in some cases by rendering the corresponding equivalents in the TT. Although this strategy is not consistently observed throughout the film, the general trend is clear. The norms prevailing in the target culture, which evolve over the years, will have a considerable influence on the resulting product. As regards textual cohesion and orality of the ST, expressed through conversational markers and oral features such as assimilations, the analysis has proved that quite often something is lost along the way. Consequently, it might be concluded that "the language of dubbing is essentially conservative and tends to stick to the grammar rules of the target language" (Chaume 2012: 91).

On the face of it, in dubbing, the oral linguistic features of fictional dialogues in the ST may be transferred to the TT through dialogue exchanges. As seen in the examples, though, translated texts tend to undergo a process of linguistic standardization, which "consists in reducing a multiplicity of distinctive features characterizing the original oral language use" (Goris 1993: 173). The tendency seems towards omitting obscene references, expletives, elisions, contractions, and any other instances of substandard varieties of spoken discourse in general. In other words, there is a strong tendency in professional audiovisual translation to reduce the linguistic variation of the ST and to adhere to standard language. The redub seems nonetheless to be much more source-oriented and to constitute a more accurate rendition of the ST than the corresponding first translation. Focusing on the Spanish audiovisual context, research has shown that redubs tend to supersede first dubbings. So, although for a certain period both dubbings may be circulating, the redub will eventually prevail in the commercial circuit with the older version gradually disappearing until it is virtually impossible to get hold of it.

To conclude, we agree with Zanotti that "the reasons behind the practice of redubbing are as diverse as the strategies adopted in its actual process, with both changing according to the commissioner, the purpose and the target audience" (2015: 137). This study has intended to foster research in the field of redubs and to help gain a deeper understanding of the intricate process of audiovisual translation.

Bibliography

Baños, Rocío. 2009. La oralidad prefabricada en la traducción para el doblaje. Granada:

University of Granada. PhD Thesis.

Briz, Antonio. 1998. El español coloquial en la conversación: esbozo de pragmagramática. Barcelona: Ariel.

Bucaria, Chiara. 2008. "Acceptance of the norm or suspension of disbelief? The case of formulaic language in dubbese." In *Between Text and Image:*

- Updating Research in Screen Translation, edited by Delia Chiaro, Christine Heiss & Chiara Bucaria, 149-163. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Cabanillas, Candelas. 2016. La traducción audiovisual en ETB2: estudio descriptivo del género western. Vitoria-Gasteiz: University of the Basque Country. PhD Thesis.
- Casas, Quim. 2007. Películas clave del western. Barcelona: Robinbook.
- Chaume, Frederic. 2004. "Discourse Markers in Audiovisual Translating." *Meta* 49 (4): 843-855.
- Chaume, Frederic. 2007. "La retraducción de textos audiovisuales: razones y repercusiones traductológicas." In *Retraducir: una nueva mirada. La retraducción de textos literarios y audiovisuales*, edited by Juan Jesús Zaro Vera & Francisco Ruiz Noguera, 49-63. Málaga: Miguel Gómez Ediciones.
- Chaume, Frederic. 2012. *Audiovisual Translation: Dubbing*. Manchester: St. Jerome.
- Cohen, Clelia. 2006. El western: el cine americano por excelencia. Barcelona: Paidós.
- Díaz Cintas, Jorge & Aline Remael. 2007. *Audiovisual Translation: Subtitling*. Manchester: St. Jerome.
- Díez Urrestarazu, Rosa. 2003. ETB: el inicio de una nueva era. Iurreta: Euskal Telebista.
- Fernández, María Jesús. 2009. "The translation of swearing in the dubbing of the film *South Park* into Spanish". In *New Trends in Audiovisual Translation*, edited by Jorge Díaz Cintas, 210-225. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Gambier, Yves. 1994. "La retraduction, retour et détour." Meta 39 (3): 413-417
- Gaviño, Victoriano. 2008. Español coloquial: pragmática de lo cotidiano. Cádiz: University of Cádiz.
- Goris, Olivier. 1993. "The question of French dubbing: towards a frame for systematic investigation". *Target* 5 (2): 169-190.
- Jiménez, Nieves. 2009. "Translating humour: the dubbing of *Bridget Jones's Diary* into Spanish." In *New Trends in Audiovisual Translation*, edited by Jorge Díaz Cintas, 133-141. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.

- Kozloff, Sarah. 2000. Overhearing Film Dialogue. Los Angeles/Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Lechado García, José Manuel. 2000. Diccionario de eufemismos y de expresiones eufemísticas del español actual. Madrid: Verbum.
- Pavesi, Maria. 2008. "Spoken language in film dubbing: target language norms, interference and translational routines." In *Between Text and Image: Updating Research in Screen Translation*, edited by Delia Chiaro, Christine Heiss & Chiara Bucaria, 79-99. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Payrató, Lluís. 1996. "Variación lingüística y modalidades de la lengua oral." In *Pragmática y gramática del español hablado. Actas del II Simposio sobre análisis del discurso oral*, edited by Antonio Briz et al., 177-192. Valencia: University of Valencia.
- Santamaria, Laura. 2001. "Culture and translation: the referential and expressive value of cultural references." In *La traducción en los medios audiovisuales*, edited by Rosa Agost & Frederic Chaume, 159-164. Castellón: Universitat Jaume I.
- Zanotti, Serenella. 2015. "Analysing redubs: motives, agents and audience response." In *Audiovisual Translation in a Global Context. Mapping an Ever-changing Landscape*, edited by Jorge Díaz Cintas & Rocío Baños, 110-139. London: Palgrave MacMillan.

Filmography

Douglas, Kirk. 1975. Posse. USA.

Douglas, Kirk. 1976. Los justicieros del oeste (first dubbed in Tecnison S.A., Madrid). Spain.

Douglas, Kirk. 2000. Los justicieros del oeste (redubbed in K2000, Bilbao). Spain.

Candelas Cabanillas is a lecturer in the Degree in Translation and Interpreting at the University of the Basque Country, Spain, where she teaches various subjects related to the field of conference interpreting. She holds an MSc in Translation and Conference Interpreting and a PhD in Audiovisual Translation. Her doctoral thesis analyses the

dubbing and redubbing of western films broadcast on Basque public television. Her research interests include redubs as well as the translation of identity, ethnicity, and of culture-bound elements in general.