SYSTEMATIC REVIEW



De Garengeot's hernia patients entirely treated laparoscopically: a safe and feasible alternative—a systematic review

Alberto Gómez-Portilla^{1,2} · Elena Merino² · Eduardo López de Heredia^{1,2} · Alberto Gareta² · Montserrat Ojeda²

Received: 22 March 2023 / Accepted: 5 April 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Purpose Less than 450 cases of femoral hernias containing the vermiform appendix have been published since De Garengeot's first description. A laparoscopic treatment option opened 15 years ago seems reliable and safe. A literature review of all the patients who have benefited from this new therapeutic alternative is presented.

Methods A systematic review using the German Society of Surgery's recommendations was performed for De Garengeot's hernias totally treated laparoscopically. Keywords searched included "De Garengeot hernia" OR "femoral hernia appendix" OR "crural hernia appendix."

Results Only 29 out of 225 De Garengeot hernia's manuscripts were identified describing patients entirely treated laparoscopically: 25 patients by a transabdominal preperitoneal hernia repair (TAPP) and 4 patients by a total extraperitoneal (TEP) procedure; 85.1% were females. The mean age was 71 years. Twenty-two patients had pre-operative imaging tests, sonography (2), computed tomography (14), or both (6). Nevertheless, only 56% had a preoperative diagnosis. Twenty-one cases required urgent treatment, while programmed surgery was possible in 7 instances. An appendix-sparing procedure could be done in 16% of the TAPPs. No postoperative complications occurred. The median hospital stay was 2.5 days.

Conclusions The best surgical approach for a De Garengeot's hernia is not defined, and many critical questions remain unanswered. A better understanding of the diagnosis and treatment of this peculiar hernia will supply guidelines for clinicians who may encounter it hereafter. A fully laparoscopic approach seems perfectly safe and feasible for this entity, and it could be considered the first-line alternative if enough expertise is available.

Keyword De Garengeot's hernia \cdot Femoral hernia appendix \cdot Crural hernia appendix \cdot Laparoscopic hernioplasty \cdot Total extraperitoneal hernia repair (TEP) \cdot Transperitoneal preperitoneal hernia repair (TAPP)

Alberto Gómez-Portilla alberto.gomezp@ehu.eus; agomezpor@gmail.com

Elena Merino elenamerino9410@hotmail.com

Eduardo López de Heredia eduarmentia@gmail.com

Alberto Gareta albertogareta@gmail.com

Montserrat Ojeda Montse.ojeda@gmail.com

¹ The University of the Basque Country, EHU/UPV, 01006 Vitoria, Spain

² Department of General Surgery, Hospital Santiago Apóstol, University Hospital of Araba (HUA), 01004, Vitoria, Spain

Background and purpose

A vermiform appendix within a femoral hernia has been known as De Garengeot's hernia since Rene-Jaques Croissant De Garengeot described this clinical picture in 1731 [1]. It is an infrequent entity, with less than 450 cases published in the literature. This hernia appears almost exclusively on the right side, mainly in females. It debuts practically invariably in an emergency setting as an incarcerated, not reducible, femoral hernia. Acute appendicitis, when present, tends to be the consequence of the strangulation of the appendix by the rigid hernia neck. Clinical signs of peritonitis are usually absent. The preoperative diagnosis of a De Garengeot's hernia by imaging tests using sonography (US), computed tomography (CT), or magnetic resonance (RMN) remains uncommon. It is generally diagnosed intraoperatively during an emergency repair for an incarcerated hernia. The stated standard treatment procedure for this type of hernia has been classically a simultaneous appendicectomy and primary hernia repair, mainly with an open approach through a groin incision and eventually combined with a mixed laparotomy. The emergence of a minimally invasive approach as a treatment option, opened 15 years ago by Commann et al. [2], seems to be a more reliable method in terms of patient safety because of the simultaneous solution of both pathologies when needed or with the sparing of the appendix when possible. Few patients have benefited from this therapeutic alternative to date. A systematic review of all the manuscripts with De Garengeot's hernia patients utterly treated by an entirely laparoscopic approach, either by a totally extraperitoneal (TEP) or a transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) hernia repair, is presented.

Methods: literature search strategy

A systematic review was performed, using the methodology of the German Society of Surgery's recommendations for systematic reviews in general surgery [3], for cases of De Garengeot hernias wholly treated laparoscopically. Search engines utilized included PubMed, Google Scholar, Embase, Ovid, and Web of Science. Keywords searched included "De Garengeot hernia" OR "femoral hernia appendix" OR "crural hernia appendix" OR "femoral appendicitis" OR "cruappendicitis." The search took place in April 2022.

Inclusion criteria

English language manuscripts or foreign language papers with an English abstract were included if they described cases with an established diagnosis of a De Garengeot's hernia. Additionally, all the selected patients reported needed to have been treated entirely laparoscopically. An additional hand-search of all references of the elected manuscripts was done, looking for additional cases not found on the initial database query.

Article selection

All papers were reviewed for eligibility independently by two reviewers. Discrepancies for inclusion or exclusion were resolved by consensus agreement.

Statistical analysis

Data from each manuscript were collected by one reviewer and tabulated into a spreadsheet. Quantitative data were analyzed as median and interquartile ranges (IQR), and qualitative data were displayed as frequencies and percentages.

Results

Literature review

Four hundred forty-two manuscripts were identified on the initial search for "De Garengeot hernia" OR "femoral hernia appendix" OR "crural hernia appendix" OR "femoral appendicitis" OR "crural appendicitis" (PubMed: 75 + 3 + 1 + 4 + 0, Google Scholar: 107 + 2 + 3 + 2 + 5, Embase: 107 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5, Web of Science: 107 + 2 + 3 + 2 + 5). After manuscripts were screened, assessed for eligibility, removed duplicates, and references screened for additional reports, 447 patients with a De Garengeot's hernia with enough information for inclusion were identified in this review. But, finally, only 29 papers [2, 4-31] had De Garengeot's patients entirely treated laparoscopically and constitute the objective of this systematic review (Table 1). Most patients came from isolated case reports published worldwide in the last 5 years.

Patient characteristics

A total of 29 patients with a De Garengeot's hernia entirely treated laparoscopically were collected with a mean age at presentation of 71 years (IQR 38–85 years). This pathology affects mainly females (85,1%, n=23), always on the right femoral side.

Diagnosis

Although 22 patients had a pre-operative imaging test, using ultrasound sonography (US) (2) or computed tomography (CT) (14), or both (6), the diagnosis was mostly made at the time of surgery. Only in 14 patients (56%), with enough information available, was a properly preoperative diagnosis of a De Garengeot's hernia established. The sensitivity of a CT scan to correctly diagnose a De Garengeot hernia was 70% (14 out of 20 true positives), while the sensitivity for the US was only 12.5% (1 out of 8 true positives).

Operative approach

While 21 cases (72.4%) required urgent treatment, seven patients (24.1%) could be treated on an elective basis. In one patient, no information was available.

One of the patients electively treated underwent a two-stage strategy, initially with prompt drainage of an inguinocrural abscess followed, 8 weeks later, by a delayed TAPP appendectomy plus herniorrhaphy [9]. In a second patient treated with a two-stage procedure, a

Author (ref.) yerrGenderAgeInaging testPerp diagonsisSurgeryTechniqueAppendectoropyMeshPOOdaysPO complicationsLegmin [2] 2007F38USNANANAUgentTPPYesYes6NaLegmin [2] 2007F38USNA </th <th>Table 1 De Garengeot's herr</th> <th>nia patients enti</th> <th>rely treated</th> <th>laparoscopically</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Table 1 De Garengeot's herr	nia patients enti	rely treated	laparoscopically							
	Author (ref) year	Gender	Age	Imaging test	Preop diagnosis	Surgery	Technique	Appendectomy	Mesh	PO days	PO complications
	Comman [2] 2007	Н	38	SU	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	9	None
	Legnani [4] 2007	N.A	65	N.A	N.A	Urgent	TAPP	No	Yes	2	N.A
	Ohta [5] 2009	ц	72	US+CT	Yes	Urgent	TEP	Yes	Yes	4	None
	Matsukawa [6] 2012	ц	49	N.A	N.A	Urgent	TEP	Yes	Yes	N.A	None
	Beysens [7] 2013	ц	64	US+CT	No	Urgent	TEP	Yes	Yes	3	None
	Takadate [8] 2014	ц	59	CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	N.A	None
Saino [10] 2014 F 77 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes No No Al-Subrie [11] 2015 F 34 US+CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes No No Nonoyama [12] 2015 F 39 NO No Urgent TAPP Yes No No Nonoyama [12] 2016 F 79 N.A Urgent TAPP Yes NA No Nonoyama [12] 2016 F 70 No Urgent TAPP Yes NA NA Gucta-Anndor [15] 2016 F 77 NA NA NA NA NA Yoshida [05] 2017 F 71 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes NA NA Yoshida [05] 2018 F NA NA NA NA NA NA Sinclar [19] 2016 F 71 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	Snoekx [9] 2014	ц	76	US+CT	Yes	Elective	TAPP	Yes	No	N.A	None
	Saito [10] 2014	ц	LL	CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	No	N.A	None
	Al-Subaie [11] 2015	ц	59	ON	No	Elective	TAPP	Yes	Yes	2	None
	Nonoyama [12] 2015	М	84	US+CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	N.A	N.A	None
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nonoyama [13] 2016	ц	79	N.A	N.A	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	N.A	N.A	None
	Díaz [14] 2016	ц	64	CT	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	2	N.A
Yoshida [16] 2017F72N.AN.AN.ATAPPYesYesN.ANoneKram [17] 2018F71CTNoUrgentTAPPYesYes2NoneSinclair [18] 2018F81CTNoUrgentTAPPYesYes2NoneSinclair [18] 2018F68US+CTNoUrgentTAPPYesYes2NoneAnheier [19] 2018F68US+CTNoUrgentTAPPYesYes3NonePunnbez [21] 2019M84CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NonePunnbez [21] 2019M84CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NonePunnbez [21] 2019M84CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneAdelwahed [22] 2020F7NoUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneSator [24] 2020F7NoUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneSator [24] 2020F7NoYesYesYesYesYesNoneSator [24] 2020F7NoYesYesYesYesNoneSator [24] 2021M85CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYesNoneSator [24] 2021M85CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYe	García-Amador [15] 2016	ц	LL	CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	No	9	None
	Yoshida [16] 2017	ц	72	N.A	N.A	N.A	TAPP	Yes	Yes	N.A	None
	Ikram [17] 2018	ц	71	CT	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	2	None
Anheirer [19] 2018F68 $US+CT$ No $Urgent$ $TAPP$ YesYes3 $N.A$ Danbara [20] 2019F85CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYesNoNoPlumbee [21] 2019M84CTYesUrgentTAPPYesNo3NoneGómez Portilla [22] 2020F83CTYesUrgentTAPPYesNo3NoneAbdelwahed [23] 2020F75CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYes2NoneAbdelwahed [23] 2020F63USNoUrgentTAPPYesYes2NoneSatori [24] 2020F76VesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneSatori [24] 2020F7VesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneSatori [24] 2020F7VesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneSatori [24] 2021M85CTYesUrgentTAPPYes7NoneSommerhaldser [27] 2021F71NONoNoYes7NoneSommerhaldser [27] 2021F71NONoYesYes7NoneSommerhaldser [29] 2021F70NoYesYesYes7NoneImatki [29] 2021F70NoNoYesYesNoNoneAlt	Sinclair [18] 2018	ц	81	CT	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	No	6	N.A
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Anheier [19] 2018	ц	68	US+CT	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	ю	N.A
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Danbara [20] 2019	ц	85	CT	Yes	Elective	TAPP	Yes	Yes	N.A	None
Gómez Portila [22] 2020 F 83 CT No Elective TAPP No Yes 2 None Abdelwahed [23] 2020 F 75 CT Yes Elective TAPP Yes 2 None Satori [24] 2020 F 63 US No Urgent TAPP Yes 3 None Satori [24] 2020 F 49 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes 3 None Fujuwara [26] 2021 M 85 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes 3 None Sommerhaldser [27] 2021 F 71 NO No Flective TAPP Yes Yes 3 None Sommerhaldser [27] 2021 F 71 NO No Flective TAPP Yes Yes 3 None Sommerhaldser [27] 2021 F 71 NO No Yes 1 None Imataki [29] 2021 F	Plumbee [21] 2019	М	84	CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	No	б	None
	Gómez Portilla [<mark>22</mark>] 2020	ц	83	CT	No	Elective	TAPP	No	Yes	2	None
Satori [24] 2020 F 63 US No Urgent TAPP Yes Yes 3 None Sakon [25] 2021 F 49 CT Yes Urgent TEP Yes 3 None Fujiwara [26] 2021 M 85 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes 3 None Sommerhaldser [27] 2021 F 71 NO No Elective TAPP Yes 3 None Sommerhaldser [27] 2021 F 71 NO No Elective TAPP Yes Yes 3 None Cohen [28] 2021 M 68 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes Yes 1 None Inataki [29] 2021 F 70 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes N.A None Altiner [30] 2022 F 63 No No Yes N.A N.A Altiner [30] 2022 F 63 <td>Abdelwahed [23] 2020</td> <td>ц</td> <td>75</td> <td>CT</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Elective</td> <td>TAPP</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>2</td> <td>N.A</td>	Abdelwahed [23] 2020	ц	75	CT	Yes	Elective	TAPP	Yes	Yes	2	N.A
	Sartori [24] 2020	ц	63	NS	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	б	None
Fujiwara [26] 2021M85CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYes3NoneSommerhaldser [27] 2021F71NONoElectiveTAPPNoYes2NoneCohen [28] 2021M68CTYesUrgentTAPPYesYes1NoneImataki [29] 2021F70CTYesElectiveTAPPNoYesN.ANoneAltiner [30] 2022F63NONoUrgentTAPPYesN.A1NoneMartín-Arroyo [31] 2022N.A83US+CTYesUrgentTAPPYesN.AN.AN.A	Sakon [25] 2021	ц	49	CT	Yes	Urgent	TEP	Yes	Yes	б	None
Sommerhaldser [27] 2021 F 71 NO No Yes 2 None Cohen [28] 2021 M 68 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes 1 None Imataki [29] 2021 F 70 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes 1 None Altiner [30] 2022 F 63 NO No Urgent TAPP Yes N.A In Martín-Arroyo [31] 2022 N.A 83 US+CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes N.A N.A N.A	Fujiwara [<mark>26</mark>] 2021	М	85	CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	ю	None
Cohen [28] 2021 M 68 CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes Yes 1 None Imataki [29] 2021 F 70 CT Yes Elective TAPP No Yes 1 None Altiner [30] 2022 F 63 NO No Urgent TAPP Yes N.A None Martín-Arroyo [31] 2022 N.A 83 US+CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes N.A N.A N.A	Sommerhaldser [27] 2021	ц	71	ON	No	Elective	TAPP	No	Yes	2	None
Imataki [29] 2021F70CTYesElectiveTAPPNoYesN.ANoneAltiner [30] 2022F63NONoUrgentTAPPYesN.A1NoneMartín-Arroyo [31] 2022N.A83US+CTYesUrgentTAPPYesN.AN.AN.A	Cohen [28] 2021	Μ	68	CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	Yes	1	None
Altiner [30] 2022 F 63 NO No Urgent TAPP Yes N.A 1 None Martín-Arroyo [31] 2022 N.A 83 US+CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes N.A 1 None	Imataki [29] 2021	ц	70	CT	Yes	Elective	TAPP	No	Yes	N.A	None
Martín-Arroyo [31] 2022 N.A 83 US+CT Yes Urgent TAPP Yes N.A N.A N.A N.A	Altiner [30] 2022	ц	63	ON	No	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	N.A	1	None
	Martín-Arroyo [31] 2022	N.A	83	US+CT	Yes	Urgent	TAPP	Yes	N.A	N.A	N.A

robotic minimally invasive TAPP hernioplasty was undertaken 4 days after an initial laparoscopic appendectomy [27]. Another case was electively operated on 7 days after the initial diagnosis, treated during the interval with antibiotics "to prevent the potential development of appendicitis" [28].

Twenty-five patients (86.2%) were fully treated by a TAPP approach and four patients (13.8%) by a TEP hernia repair.

A laparoscopic appendectomy was performed in 86.2% of the patients (n=25). Still, in 4 cases, an appendix-sparing hernioplasty could be satisfactorily done, as the appendix was normal after the resolution of the hernia sac, always during TAPP procedures. In all but five patients [9, 10, 15, 18, 21], a prosthetic mesh could be used for the hernia repair. A polypropylene mesh was used most of the time.

Outcomes

The median hospital stay, reported in 68.9% of cases (n=20), was 2.5 days (IQR 1–9). Moreover, two patients [28, 30] could be discharged the same day, and eight patients the day after. All the patients with specific information available had no postoperative complications. In six cases, there was not enough information available [4, 14, 18, 19, 23, 31].

Discussion

The patients of this series were typical. Most reported cases included old women with a several-day history of groin swelling just below the right inguinal ligament, inferior and lateral to the pubic tubercle. The node was nonreducible but not strangulated. None of the patients developed signs of peritonitis. The absence of typical symptoms made the preoperative diagnosis of a De Garengeot hernia challenging. Imaging has been used to differentiate and obtain an accurate diagnosis. None of the cases reviewed were successfully diagnosed preoperatively with sonography alone. And, with a sensitivity of 70% for the CT scans available, only 56% patients were properly preoperatively diagnosed. Coronal and sagittal reconstructions have been shown to aid in the reliable identification and classification of these femoral hernias by experienced radiologists.

Most of the cases (72.4% in this series) required emergency surgical treatment. We highlight, once again, the usefulness of laparoscopy as a valuable tool in the diagnosis and treatment of this unusual presentation of femoral hernias.

Commann et al. [2] opened the minimally invasive approach as a treatment option in 2007. With a TAPP procedure they evaluated the intraperitoneal situation, repositioned the hernia contents, made the laparoscopic appendectomy, and repaired the hernia defect. The laparoscopic TEP approach for a De Garengeot hernia repair was first reported by Otha et al. in 2009 [5]. They initially performed the TEP hernia repair, followed by the laparoscopic appendectomy at once. Instead, Beysens et al. [7] performed first the laparoscopic appendectomy and, later, after the closure of the peritoneal space, the hernia repair using the TEP technique. Both approaches try to overcome the placement of a mesh in a possibly contaminated environment.

Although some advocate removing the appendix in all cases, whether an appendectomy is mandatory in an otherwise non-inflamed appendix is under question. In this series, twenty-five patients (86.2%) suffered an appendectomy, but in three of them (12%), no signs of true appendicitis were present in the final histologic study [7, 10, 17]. In five other patients [4, 22, 27, 29, and our second unpublished case], an appendix-preserving procedure could be guaranteed without further complications.

A mesh repair of the femoral hernia defect was possible in all but four patients [9, 10, 15, 18]. In these contaminated scenarios, the overall low mesh infection rate can likely be attributed to the parietal peritoneum acting as a natural barrier. The peritoneum is closed after the mesh is placed in the preperitoneal space in TAPP and TEP techniques. There is no ulterior contact between the mesh and the abdominal cavity, minimizing the risk of implant contamination.

A totally laparoscopic approach for De Garengeot's hernias was associated with an overall low complication rate. No complications were reported in these cohort published, and patients had an uneventful recovery and short hospital length of stay. Notably, nine patients were referred with a day-after or less hospital discharge [4, 11, 14, 17, 22, 23, 27, 28, 30], representing a low-invasive treatment strategy.

Conclusions

The best surgical approach for a De Garengeot's hernia is not defined nor standardized, and many critical questions remain unanswered. A deeper and better understanding of the diagnosis and treatment of this peculiar hernia will provide guidelines for clinicians who may encounter it in the future. The awareness of the disease and the more frequent use of CT scan imaging may increase the pre-operative diagnosis rate. Undoubtedly, pre-operative diagnosis may affect the urgency of operative intervention and surgical management, leading to improved outcomes.

An entirely simultaneous laparoscopic approach to both pathologies, appendicular and femoral hernia, reinforced mainly by a TAPP approach, seems perfectly safe and feasible to treat this entity. Furthermore, laparoscopy allows an optimal exploration of the whole abdominal cavity and the discovery of possible associated entities. In addition, the period dedicated to delivering and repairing the hernia and its defect represents an acceptable "test time" for the proper assessment of the appendiceal macroscopic viability, allowing a chance to perform an appendix-sparing procedure, avoiding thus unnecessary appendectomies in selected cases, and preventing the contamination of the mesh and the femoral operative field.

When a De Garengeot hernia is clinically suspected, urgent surgical treatment should be performed as soon as possible. A totally laparoscopic approach should be considered the first-line alternative when enough expertise is available, in cases where no necrosis occurs of the appendix is found [29].

Authors' contributions All authors have contributed to the study conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and final critical revision of the manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding provided by the University of the Basque Country thanks to the CRUE-CSIC agreement with Springer Nature.

Declarations

All authors declare that the work described has not been published before; that it is not under consideration for publication anywhere else; and that its publication has been approved by all co-authors.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- De Garengeot RJC (1731) Traité des operations de chirurgie, 2nd Ed. Huart, Paris, pp 369–371
- Comman A, Gaetzschmann P, Hanner T, Behrend M (2007) De Garengeot hernia: transabdominal preperitoneal hernia repair and appendectomy. JSLS 11(4):496–501
- Kalkum E, Klotz R, Seide S, Hüttner FJ, Kowaleski K, Nickel F et al (2021) Systematic reviews in surgery-recommendations from the study center of the German Society of Surgery. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery 406:1723–1731. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00423-021-02204-x
- Legnani GL, Rasini M, Pastori S, Sarli D (2008) Laparoscopic trans-peritoneal hernioplasty (TAPP) for the acute management of

strangulated inguino-crural hernias: a report of nine cases. Hernia 12(2):185–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-007-0305-5

- Ohta R, Oneyama M, Takahashi Y, Kawahara Y, Kitamura M, Goto M et al (2009) Laparoscopic repair of femoral hernia with incarceration of the appendix: a case report. Jpn J Gastroenterol Surg 42:1631–1636. https://doi.org/10.5833/jjgs.42.1631
- Matsukawa S, Shiratori T, Kugimiya M (2012) A case in which laparoscopic totally extraperitoneal approach and appendectomy were performed for preoperatively diagnosed incarcerated appendix within femoral hernia. Operation 66:1299–1302
- Beysens M, Haeck L, Vindevoghel K (2013) Laparoscopic appendectomy combined with TEP for De Garengeot hernia: case report. Acta Chir Belg 113:468–470. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00015458.2013.11680967
- Takadate T, Nakagawa K, Suzuki H, Fukamachi S, Kobayashi T, Ogoshi T (2014) Laparoscopic repair of femoral hernia with incarceration of the appendix: a case report. Med J Sendai Red Cross Hosp 23:43–47
- Snoekx R, Geyskens P (2014) De Garengeot's hernia: acute appendicitis in a femoral hernia. Case report and literature overview. Acta Chir Belg 114:149–151. https://doi.org/10.1080/00015 458.2014.11681000
- Saito T, Otani S, Sato Y, Tsuchiya T, Ito F, Miura J (2014) A case of laparoscopic repair of a femoral hernia with incarceration of the appendix. J Jpn Soc Clin Surg 75:2606–2612
- Al-Subaie S, Mustafa H, Al-Sharqawi, Al-Haddad M, Othman F (2015) A case of De Garengeot hernia: the feasibility of laparoscopic transabdominal preperitoneal hernia repair. Int J Surg Case Rep 16:73–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2015.09.021
- Nonoyama K, Nakamura K, Kitagami H, Matsui T, Fujihata S, Waranabe T, Takana M (2015) A case of De Garengeot hernia with abscess treated by TAPP procedure after laparoscopic appendectomy. J Jpn Soc Endoscopy Surg 20:261–267. https://doi.org/ 10.11477/mf.4426200132
- Nonoyama K, Kitagami H, Matsui T, Takana M, Toyoya K (2016) Our experience of 4 cases of De Garengeot's hernia. Surg Endoscopy 30:S63–S156. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-4767-3
- Díaz R, Rodríguez F, Bustos A, Alfaro J (2016) Cirugía Laparoscópica de una hernia De Garengeot: presentación poco frecuente de una hernia crural. Rev Chil Cir 68(6):446–448. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.rchic.2016.06.012
- García-Amador C, De la Plaza R, Arteaga V, López-Marcano A, Ramia J (2016) Garengeot's hernia: two case reports with CT diagnosis and literature review. Open Med 11:354–360. https:// doi.org/10.1515/med-2016-0065
- Yoshida R, Matsukuma A, Maruyama S (2017) A case of laparoscopic repair of a femoral hernia with an incarcerated appendix. J Jpn Soc Clin Surg 78:156–161
- Ikram S, Kaleem A, Satyapal D, Muzaffar Ahmad S (2018) De Garengeot's hernia: a rare presentation of the wandering appendix. BMJ Case Rep. https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr.2017.223605
- Sinclair P, Kadhum M, Bat-Ulzii Davison T (2018) A rare case of incarcerated femoral hernia containing small bowel and appendix. BMJ Case Rep. https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2018-225174
- Anheier M, Schulteis KH (2018) De Garengeot hernia Uncommon cause of a right groin pain. Clin Case Studie Rep 1:1–3. https:// doi.org/10.15761/CCSR.1000105
- Danbara T, Hayashi K (2019) De Garengeot hernia complicated by acute appendicitis in a patient who simultaneously underwent elective laparoscopic appendectomy and femoral hernia repair by transabdominal preperitoneal repair. Jap J Gastroenterol Surg 52(4):227–238. https://doi.org/10.5833/jjgs.2017.0184
- Plumblee L, Kim D, Pacult M, Pullat R (2019) Successful treatment of De Garengeot's hernia using laparoscopic technique. Am Surg 85:e508–e510. https://doi.org/10.1177/000313481908500929

- 22. Gómez Portilla A, Merino E, López de Heredia E, Gareta A, Diago E (2020) Appendix-sparing transabdominal preperitoneal laparoscopic hernioplasty for a De Garengeot's Hernia. Video demonstration. CRSLS 8(2):e2020.00098. https://doi.org/10. 4293/CRSLS.2020.00098
- 23. Abdelwahed A, Zach A (2020) A rare case presentation of De Garengeot hernia. BJS 107(S3):25–234
- 24. Sartori A, De Luca M, Clemente N, Noaro G, De Luca A, Piatto G et al (2020) Laparoscopic treatment of De garengeot hernia with progrip. Ann Ital Chir 9:S2239253X2003220X
- 25. Sakon R, Hara Y, Tomizawa Y, Ishiyama Y, Ito S, Oneyama M et al (2021) Successful one-stage laparoscopic procedure for de Garengeot hernia: a totally extraperitoneal repair-first approach. JSCR 1:1–3. https://doi.org/10.1093/jscr/rjaa586
- 26. Fujiwara H, Suto T, Umemura A, Tanahashi Y, Amano S, Ikeda K et al (2021) Rare presentation of De Garengeot hernia treated by transabdominal preperitoneal hernia repair: a case report. Asian J Endosc Surg 14:578–581. https://doi.org/10.1111/ases.12891
- Sommerhalder B, Burger R, Bueter M, Thalheimer A (2021) An unusual reason for an inguinal swelling: De Garengeot's hernia. JSCR 3:1–3. https://doi.org/10.1093/jscr/rjab083

- Cohen RB, Nerwal T, Winikoff S, Hubbard M (2021) Minimally invasive management of de Garengeot hernia with staged robotic hernia repair. BMJ Case Rep 14:e242569. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bcr-2021-242569
- Imataki H, Miyake H, Nagai H, Yoshioka Y, Shibata K, Kambara Y et al (2021) Appendix-preserving elective herniorrhaphy for de Garengeot hernia: two case reports. Surgical Case Reports 7:246. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40792-021-01329-x
- Altiner S, Büyükkasap Ç, Göbüt H, Yavuz A, Dikmen K, Bostancı H (2022) Transabdominal preperitoneal hernia repair for incidental De Garengeot's hernia: A case report. ANZ J Surg. https://doi. org/10.1111/ans.17484
- Martín-Arroyo S, Escalera-Pérez R, Medina-Achirica C, García Molina FJ (2022) Hernia incarcerada o apendicitis? Hernia de Garengeot. Cir Esp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2022.01.012

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.