

RESEARCH

Open Access

Pata contractions and coupled type fixed points

Madjid Eshaghi¹, Samad Mohseni¹, Mohsen Rostamian Delavar², Manuel De La Sen³, Gwang Hui Kim^{4*} and Asma Arian¹

*Correspondence:
ghkim@kangnam.ac.kr

⁴Department of Mathematics,
Kangnam University, Kangnam,
Korea

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

A new coupled fixed point theorem related to the Pata contraction for mappings having the mixed monotone property in partially ordered complete metric spaces is established. It is shown that the coupled fixed point can be unique under some extra suitable conditions involving mid point lower or upper bound properties. Also the corresponding convergence rate is estimated when the iterates of our function converge to its coupled fixed point.

MSC: 47H10; 34B15; 54H25

Keywords: partial ordered metric space; mixed monotone property; coupled fixed point

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The well-known Banach contraction principle, which guarantees the existence of a unique fixed point for a mapping defined on a complete metric space satisfying the contraction condition, was introduced in 1922 by Banach [1]. After this a great deal of effort has gone into the theory and application of the Banach contraction theorem. Some authors generalized this theorem from the single-valued case to the multivalued [2–4]. Some extensions are to fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in generalized form of metric spaces, especially a metric space endowed with a partial order. Single, coupled, tripled and other types of fixed point theorems are investigated in many works, for instance, [5–9] and references cited therein. Such fixed point theorems are applied to establishing the existence of a unique solution to periodic boundary value problems, matrix equations, ordinary differential equations, and integral equations [10–13]. Recently Pata in [14] introduced a fixed point theorem with weaker hypotheses than those of the Banach contraction principle with an explicit estimate of the convergence rate (see also [15]). Motivated by [16], we establish a new coupled fixed point theorem related to the Pata contraction for mappings having the mixed monotone property in partially ordered metric spaces. We prove that the coupled fixed point can be unique under some suitable conditions. Also the corresponding convergence rate is estimated when the iterates of our function converge to its coupled fixed point.

Definition 1.1 [16] Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. The product space $X \times X$ can be endowed with a partial order such that for $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ we can define $(u, v) \leq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \geq u, y \leq v$.

Definition 1.2 [16] Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. We say that F has the mixed monotone property on X if $F(x, y)$ is monotone non-decreasing in x and is monotone non-increasing in y , that is, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad x_1 \leq x_2 &\Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y) \quad \text{and} \\ y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad y_1 \leq y_2 &\Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3 [16] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if

$$x = F(x, y), \quad y = F(y, x).$$

2 Main results

In this section we prove a coupled type fixed point theorem with the convergence rate estimation. Also an example is given as an application of the main theorem.

Definition 2.1 Let (X, d) be a metric space. The mapping $\bar{d} : X^2 \times X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\bar{d}[(x, y), (u, v)] = d(x, u) + d(y, v),$$

for each pair $((x, y), (u, v)) \in X^2 \times X^2$, defines a metric on $X^2 \times X^2$, which will be denoted for convenience by d , too.

For a metric space (X, d) , selecting an arbitrary $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$, we denote

$$\|x, y\| = d[(x, y), (x_0, y_0)] \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

Let $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an increasing function vanishing with continuity at zero. Also consider the vanishing sequence depending on $\alpha \geq 1$, $w_n(\alpha) = (\frac{\alpha}{n})^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(\frac{\alpha}{k})$.

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on X and let $\Lambda \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 1$, and $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$ be fixed constants. Suppose that there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0).$$

If the inequality

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{2} d[(x, y), (u, v)] + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x, y\| + \|u, v\|]^\beta \quad (2.1)$$

is satisfied for every $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ with $u \leq x, y \leq v$, then F has a coupled fixed point (x^*, y^*) .

Furthermore, we denote $F^n = F \circ \cdots \circ F$ (n times),

$$d[(x^*, y^*), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \leq K w_n(\alpha) \quad (2.2)$$

for some positive constant $K \leq 2\Lambda(1 + 4\|x^*, y^*\| + 4d(x_0, y_0))^\beta$.

Proof Set $F(x_0, y_0) = x_1$ and $F(y_0, x_0) = y_1$. So

$$x_1 \geq x_0, \quad y_1 \leq y_0.$$

Now from letting $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$, $y_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$ and choosing the notations

$$F^2(x_0, y_0) = F(F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0)) = F(x_1, y_1) = x_2,$$

$$F^2(y_0, x_0) = F(F(y_0, x_0), F(x_0, y_0)) = F(y_1, x_1) = y_2,$$

with the mixed monotone property of F we have

$$x_2 = F^2(x_0, y_0) = F(x_1, y_1) \geq F(x_0, y_0) = x_1,$$

$$y_2 = F^2(y_0, x_0) = F(y_1, x_1) \leq F(y_0, x_0) = y_1.$$

So by continuing this argument we obtain two sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots$ and $y_0 \geq y_1 \geq \dots \geq y_n \geq \dots$.

Furthermore for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we set

$$x_n = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) = F^n(x_0, y_0), \quad y_n = F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) = F^n(y_0, x_0) \quad \text{and}$$

$$C_n = \|F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)\|.$$

Since (2.1) is true for every $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, setting $\varepsilon = 0$ we have the following relations:

$$d[(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \leq C_1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d[(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), (x_0, y_0)] \\ \leq d[(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), (F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0))] \\ + d[(F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0)), (x_0, y_0)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d[(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), (x_0, y_0)] \\ \leq d[(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), (F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))] \\ + d[(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), (x_0, y_0)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using (2.5), (2.3), (2.4), and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &\leq d[(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), (F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0))] + 2C_1 \\ &= d(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0), F(y_0, x_0)) + 2C_1 \\ &= d[F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), F(x_0, y_0)] \\ &\quad + d[F(F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)), F(y_0, x_0)] + 2C_1 \\ &\leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), (x_0, y_0)] \\ &\quad + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)\| + \|x_0, y_0\|]^\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)), (y_0, x_0)] \\
 & + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)\| + \|y_0, x_0\|]^\beta \\
 & + 2C_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

But from the definition of $\|\cdot\|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [1 + \|F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)\| + \|x_0, y_0\|] & \leq 1 + C_n \leq 1 + C_n + 4d(x_0, y_0), \\
 [1 + \|F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)\| + \|y_0, x_0\|] & \leq 1 + C_n + 4d(x_0, y_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

so for $\alpha \geq \beta$ there are some $E, D > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_n & \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} C_n + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + C_n + 4d(x_0, y_0)]^\beta \\
 & + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} C_n + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + C_n + 4d(x_0, y_0)]^\beta + 2C_1 \\
 & = (1-\varepsilon)C_n + 2\Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + C_n + 4d(x_0, y_0)]^\beta + 2C_1 \leq (1-\varepsilon)C_n + E\varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon)C_n^\alpha + D.
 \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$\varepsilon C_n \leq E\varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon)C_n^\alpha + D,$$

which holds by hypothesis for any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ taken for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there is a subsequence $C_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$, then the choice $\varepsilon_{n_k} = \min(1, \frac{1+D}{C_{n_k}})$ leads to the following contradiction:

$$1 \leq E(1+D)^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the sequence $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Also the sequences $\{F^n(x_0, y_0)\}$ and $\{F^n(y_0, x_0)\}$ are Cauchy sequences:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(F^{n+m+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m+1}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)) \\
 & = d(F(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0)), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))) \\
 & + d(F(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0)), F(F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0))) \\
 & \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0)), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \\
 & + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0)\| + \|F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)\|]^\beta \\
 & + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0)), (F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0))] \\
 & + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0)\| + \|F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)\|]^\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

But since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0)\| + \|F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)\| \\
 & \leq c_{n+m} + c_n \leq c_{n+m} + c_n + 4d(x_0, y_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0)\| + \|F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)\| \leq c_{n+m} + c_n + 4d(x_0, y_0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(F^{n+m+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m+1}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)) \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon)(d(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))) \\ & \quad + 2\Lambda\varepsilon^\alpha\psi(\varepsilon)[1 + c_{n+m} + c_n + 4d(x_0, y_0)]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed m , set

$$K = \sup_{n \in N} 2\Lambda[1 + 2c_n + 4d(x_0, y_0)]^\beta, \quad (2.6)$$

and $\varepsilon = 1 - (\frac{n}{n+1})^\alpha \leq \frac{\alpha}{n+1}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+1)^\alpha(d(F^{n+m+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m+1}(y_0, x_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))) \\ & \leq n^\alpha(d(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))) + K\alpha^\alpha\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $r_n := n^\alpha[d(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n+1} & \leq r_n + K\alpha^\alpha\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{n+1}\right) \\ & \leq r_{n-1} + K\alpha^\alpha\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right) + K\alpha^\alpha\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{n+1}\right) \\ & \leq \dots \\ & \leq r_0 + K\alpha^\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) = K\alpha^\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & d(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)) \\ & \leq K\left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha\sum_{k=1}^n\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) = Kw_n(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)) \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $\{F^n(x_0, y_0)\}$ and $\{F^n(y_0, x_0)\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Since X is a complete metric space, there are $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^* \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F^m(y_0, x_0) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m = y^*.$$

Using (2.7) and Definition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d[(x^*, y^*), (F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))] \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d[(x^*, y^*), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} d[(F^{n+m}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+m}(y_0, x_0)), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &\leq Kw_n(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and from the continuity of F we have $F(x^*, y^*) = x^*$ and $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$. Also, the convergence rate estimate stated in (2.2) is obtained from the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &d[(x^*, y^*), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &= d[(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*)), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &= d(F(x^*, y^*), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d(F(y^*, x^*), F^n(y_0, x_0)) \\ &\leq 1/2d[(x^*, y^*), (F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))] \\ &\quad + 1/2d[(y^*, x^*), (F^{n-1}(y_0, x_0), F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0))] \\ &= d[(x^*, y^*), (F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))] \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq d[(x^*, y^*), (x_0, y_0)] = \|x^*, y^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= d[(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), (x_0, y_0)] \\ &\leq d[(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), (x^*, y^*)] + d[(x^*, y^*), (x_0, y_0)] \\ &\leq 2\|x^*, y^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality and (2.6) we have $K \leq 2\Lambda[1 + 4\|x^*, y^*\| + 4d(x_0, y_0)]^\beta$. \square

Example 2.3 Let $X = [-2, 2]$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a metric on X defined as $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$ which (X, d) is a complete metric space. Now consider $X \times X$ with the partial order defined in Definition 1.1. The metric on the product metric space $X \times X$ is defined by

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|, \quad \text{for all } x_i, y_i \in X \ (i = 1, 2).$$

Consider the mapping F defined as

$$F(x, y) = 1,$$

for all fixed constants $\Lambda \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 1$, and $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$, and by using (2.1) we have

$$0 \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}d[(x, y), (u, v)] + \Lambda\varepsilon^\alpha\psi(\varepsilon)[1 + \|x, y\| + \|u, v\|]^\beta.$$

Since $d[(x, y), (u, v)]$ and $\Lambda\varepsilon^\alpha\psi(\varepsilon)[1 + \|x, y\| + \|u, v\|]^\beta$ are positive, we have $\frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} \geq 0$, which forces $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Also there exists $(0, 2) \in X \times X$ such that $0 \leq F(0, 2)$ and $2 \geq F(2, 0)$. Thus F satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.2. The pair $(1, 1)$ is the coupled fixed point for the mapping F .

3 Supplementary results

In this section we prove some supplementary results. The first theorem is about replacing the continuity condition of the function F in Theorem 2.2 with a new one. The second is about the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point. At last we show that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 is weaker than those of Theorem 2.1 in [16].

Theorem 3.1 *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X such that there are two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with*

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0).$$

Let $\Lambda \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 1$, and $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$ be fixed constants. Suppose that the inequality

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(x, y), (u, v)] + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x, y\| + \|u, v\|]^\beta \quad (3.1)$$

is satisfied for every $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ with $u \leq x, y \leq v$. Furthermore, suppose that X has the following properties:

- (i) for a non-decreasing sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) for a non-increasing sequence $y_n \rightarrow y$, then $y_n \geq y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then F has a coupled fixed point (x^*, y^*) .

Furthermore, calling $F^n = F \circ \cdots \circ F$ (n times), we have

$$d[(x^*, y^*), (F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0))] \leq Kw_n(\alpha) \quad (3.2)$$

for some positive constant $K \leq 2\Lambda(1 + 4\|x^*, y^*\| + 4d(x_0, y_0))^\beta$.

Proof We only need to prove that $x^* = F(x^*, y^*)$ and $y^* = F(y^*, x^*)$. Consider the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & d[(x^*, y^*), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))] \\ & \leq d[(x^*, y^*), (F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))] \\ & \quad + d[(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))] \\ & = d[(x^*, y^*), (F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))] \\ & \quad + d(F(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), F(x^*, y^*)) + d(F(F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)), F(y^*, x^*)) \\ & \leq d[(x^*, y^*), (F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))] \\ & \quad + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)), (x^*, y^*)] \\ & \quad + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|F^n(x_0, y_0), F^n(y_0, x_0)\| + \|x^*, y^*\|]^\beta \\ & \quad + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2} d[(F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)), (y^*, x^*)] \\ & \quad + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|F^n(y_0, x_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)\| + \|y^*, x^*\|]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^* \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F^m(y_0, x_0) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m = y^*,$$

and since the contractive condition (3.1) holds for any real constant $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, we can replace ε , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by a sequence $[0, 1] \ni \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by letting $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $d[(x^*, y^*), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))] = 0$. \square

Definition 3.2 Suppose that X is a partially ordered metric space with a metric d . A pair of $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ has either a *mid point lower bound* or a *mid point upper bound* if there are $(z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$ comparable to (x, y) and (u, v) such that $d[(x, y), (z_1, z_2)] + d[(z_1, z_2), (x^*, y^*)] = d[(x, y), (x^*, y^*)]$. The space (X, d) has the mid point lower bound or the mid point upper bound property if any pair in $X \times X$ has a mid point lower bound or a mid point upper bound.

Theorem 3.3 Adding the condition of the above definition to the space (X, d) in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point of F .

Proof If $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is another coupled fixed point of F where $x = F(x, y)$, $y = F(y, x)$, then we have two cases.

Case (1): If (x^*, y^*) is comparable to (x, y) then

$$\begin{aligned} d[(x, y), (x^*, y^*)] &= d[(F(x, y), F(y, x)), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))] \\ &= d(F(x, y), F(x^*, y^*)) + d(F(y, x), F(y^*, x^*)) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{2} d[(x, y), (x^*, y^*)] + t_1 \varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{2} d[(y, x), (y^*, x^*)] + t_2 \varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

for some $t_1, t_2 > 0$. Putting $t = t_1 + t_2$, we have

$$\varepsilon d[(x, y), (x^*, y^*)] \leq \varepsilon t \psi(\varepsilon),$$

which is valid for every $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. We can replace ε , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by a sequence $[0, 1] \ni \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which forces $d[(x, y), (x^*, y^*)] = 0$.

Case (2): If (x^*, y^*) is not comparable to (x, y) then there exists a mid upper bound or mid lower bound $(u, v) \in X \times X$ for (x^*, y^*) and (x, y) . So $(F(u, v), F(v, u))$ is comparable to $(x^*, y^*) = (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))$ and $(x, y) = (F(x, y), F(y, x))$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d[(x, y), (x^*, y^*)] &= d[(F(x, y), F(y, x)), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))] \\ &\leq d[(F(x, y), F(y, x)), (F(u, v), F(v, u))] + d[(F(u, v), F(v, u)), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))] \\ &= d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) + d(F(u, v), F(x^*, y^*)) \\ &\quad + d(F(v, u), F(y^*, x^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}d[(x,y),(u,v)] + t_1\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon) + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}d[(y,x),(v,u)] + t_2\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}d[(u,v),(x^*,y^*)] + t_3\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon) + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}d[(v,u),(y^*,x^*)] + t_4\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

for some $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 > 0$. Set $t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4$. Hence,

$$d[(x,y),(x^*,y^*)] \leq (1-\varepsilon)[d(x,u) + d(y,v) + d(u,x^*) + d(v,y^*)] + t\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon). \quad (3.3)$$

Now by Definition 3.2 we have

$$\varepsilon d[(x,y),(x^*,y^*)] \leq \varepsilon t\psi(\varepsilon)$$

for every $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$. We can replace ε , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the sequence $[0,1] \ni \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which forces $d[(x,y),(x^*,y^*)] = 0$. \square

Remark 3.4 Note that Theorem 2.2 is stronger than Theorem 2.1 in [16]. Indeed with the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 in [16], for each $(x,y),(u,v) \in X \times X$, we have

$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}d[(x,y),(u,v)] \quad \text{for all } x \geq u, y \leq v, 0 \leq \lambda < 1.$$

Thus for $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$, and $\Lambda = \Lambda(\nu, \lambda) = \frac{\nu^\nu}{(1+\nu)^{1+\nu}(1-\lambda)^\nu}$, for arbitrary $\nu > 0$, we get

$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}d[(x,y),(u,v)] + \Lambda\varepsilon^{1+\nu}[1 + \|x,y\| + \|u,v\|],$$

for every $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Semnan University, P.O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran. ²Young Researchers Club, Semnan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Semnan, Iran. ³Institute of Research and Development of Processes, University of Basque Country, Campus of Leioa (Bizkaia) - Aptdo. 644- Bilbao, Bilbao, 48080, Spain.

⁴Department of Mathematics, Kangnam University, Kangnam, Korea.

Received: 22 November 2013 Accepted: 8 May 2014 Published: 02 Jun 2014

References

1. Banach, S: Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundam. Math.* **3**, 133-181 (1922)
2. Mizoguchi, N, Takahashi, W: Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **141**, 177-188 (1989)
3. Nadler, SB: Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **30**, 475-488 (1969)
4. Reich, S: Some problems and results in fixed point theory. *Contemp. Math.* **21**, 179-187 (1983)
5. Samet, B, Vetro, C: Coupled fixed point theorems for multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(12), 4260-4268 (2011)
6. Samet, B, Karapinar, E, Aydi, H, Rajic, V: Discussion on some coupled fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 50 (2013)
7. Gordji, ME, Ramezani, M: A generalization of Mizoguchi and Takahashi's theorem for single-valued mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(13), 4544-4549 (2011)
8. Lakshmikantham, V, Čirić, L: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**(12), 4341-4349 (2009)

9. Radenović, S: Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham type results for monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **5**(2), 96-103 (2014)
10. Amini-Harandi, A, Emami, H: A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**(5), 2238-2242 (2010)
11. Nieto, JJ, Rodriguez-Lopez, R: Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Order* **22**, 223-239 (2005)
12. Nieto, JJ, Rodriguez-Lopez, R: Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Acta Math. Sin.* **23**, 2205-2212 (2007)
13. Ran, ACM, Reurings, MCB: A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **132**, 1435-1443 (2004)
14. Pata, V: A fixed point theorem in metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **10**, 299-305 (2011)
15. Paknazar, M, Eshaghi, M, Cho, YJ, Vaezpour, SM: A Pata-type fixed point theorem in modular spaces with application. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 239 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-239
16. Gnana Bhaskar, T, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**(7), 1379-1393 (2006)

10.1186/1687-1812-2014-130

Cite this article as: Eshaghi et al.: Pata contractions and coupled type fixed points. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014, 2014:130

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com